CIPRIANI & WERNER

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

ICA EFiled: Aug 21 2024 03:36PM EDT Transaction ID 74115702

Visit us online at www.C-WLAW.com

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

T. JONATHAN COOK, ESQ. tcook@c-wlaw.com

500 Lee Street East, Suite 900 Charleston, WV 25301

Phone: (304) 341-0500 Fax: (304) 341-0507

August 21, 2024

The Honorable Daniel Greear, Chief Judge West Virginia Intermediate Court of Appeals WV Judicial Tower 4700 MacCorkle Ave, SE Charleston, WV 24304

RE: Joseph Dorsey v. Blackhawk Mining, LLC. (OP)

Appeal No.: No. 24-ICA-254

Claim No.: 2078516 JCN No.: 2023006378

Dear Judge Greear:

Enclosed please find the original of the "Brief on Behalf of Blackhawk Mining LLC" in the above claim. Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Very truly yours,

T. Jonathan Cook, Esquire

TJC/mm

Enclosure

CC: Blackhawk Mining LLC (via e-mail)

Sam B. Petsonk, Esquire

Rockwood Casualty Insurance Company, Karen Cates (via e-mail)

Sean Harter, Esquire

BEFORE THE WEST VIRGINIA INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS CHARLESTON, WEST VIRGINIA

JOSEPH CRAIG DORSEY,

Appellant,

v.

Intermediate Court No. 24-ICA-254

BLACKHAWK MINING, LLC,

Appellee.

BRIEF ON BEHALF OF APPELLEE

T. Jonathan Cook, Esq. WV Bar ID #9057 Cipriani & Werner, P.C. 500 Lee Street East, Suite 900 Charleston, WV 25301

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	1
II.	ASSIGNMENTS OF ERROR	1
III.	STATEMENT OF THE CLAIM	1
IV.	STATEMENT REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT AND DECISION	3
V.	ARGUMENT	3
VI.	CONCLUSION	4

I. TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

STATUTES	PAGE(S)
W. Va. Code § 23-5-12a	3
W. Va. Code § 23-4-18	4
W. Va. Code § 23-4-6a	4
CASES	
Burgess v. State Compensation Commissioner, 121 W. Va. 571, 5 S.E.2d 804	
(1939)	4
Dunlap v. State Compensation Director, 149 W. Va. 266, 140 S.E.2d 448 (1965)	4
<u>Carter v. Spieler</u> , 183 W. Va. 126, 394 S.E.2d 528 (1990)	4

II. ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR

Given the insufficiency of the claimant's evidence and argument in proving the presence he should be paid benefits from a date of disability in September 2022, the BOR did not err in finding the claimant was not entitled to the backpay award asserted he asserted.

III. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The claim administrator's order dated October 3, 2023, states the 65% permanent partial disability award amounts to 65% x 4 (weeks for each percentile) = 260 weeks x \$670.18 = \$174,246.80. **EX 1**. Further, the order states that the award will be paid out bi-weekly (every 2 weeks) and will continue until the 260 weeks have been paid or changed by order. **Id.**

The claimant submitted a copy of a check dated October 18, 2023, in the amount of \$1,340.36, which is payable to the claimant. An attached explanation indicates that this payment is for the time period of October 3, 2023, to October 16, 2023. **EX 2**.

The Workers' Compensation Board of Review (BOR) issued a final order dated June 12, 2024, regarding the claimant's protest to the onset date of disability. **EX 3**. On page 2 of the order, the BOR noted the claimant's argument in support of his position:

The claimant submitted a closing argument dated April 5, 2024. Counsel asserted that pursuant to W. Va. § Code 23-4-18, the claimant is entitled to backpay from the date of disability in September of 2022 through the date the employer commenced making monthly payments in October of 2023. The claimant requested that the employer be ordered to make 234 payments of \$670.18 (total of \$156,822.12) and one back-payment of \$17,424.68, which equals the same total award as originally computed (\$174,246.80).

The BOR affirmed the onset date in the order explaining:

W. Va. Code § 23-4-18 provides in part as follows: "In all cases where compensation is awarded or increased, the amount of compensation shall be calculated and paid from the date of disability." The claimant asserts that the date of disability fell within the month of September 2022 and that he is entitled to backpay beginning in September of 2022. The claimant did not cite any case law to support his position.

The West Virginia Supreme Court addressed W. Va. Code § 23-4-18 in <u>Burgess v. State Compensation Commissioner</u>, 121 W. Va. 571, 5 S.E.2d 804 (1939); <u>Dunlap v. State Compensation Director</u>, 149 W. Va. 266, 140 S.E.2d 448 (1965); and <u>Carter v. Spieler</u>, 183 W. Va. 126, 394 S.E.2d 528 (1990). These cases involve the d ate of disability for permanent total disability benefits.

W. Va. Code § 23-4-6a provides in part as follows: "If an employee is found to be permanently disabled due to occupational pneumoconiosis, as defined in section one of this article, the percentage of permanent disability is determined by the degree of medical impairment that is found by the Occupational Pneumoconiosis Board. The Commission, successor to the Commission, other private carrier or self-insured employer, whichever is applicable, shall enter an order setting forth the findings of the Occupational Pneumoconiosis Board with regard to whether the claimant has occupational pneumoconiosis and the degree of medical impairment, if any, resulting therefrom." The claim administrator complied with this Code section. The claimant has not established that he is entitled to the relief he seeks. The claim administrator's order will be

affirmed regarding the issue of payment in accordance with W. Va. Code § 23-4-18.

Id.

IV. STATEMENT REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT AND DECISION

The facts and legal arguments are adequately presented by the employer's brief and record before the Court. Therefore, the employer respectfully submits oral argument is not needed for this appeal.

V. ARGUMENT

A. Standard of Review

West Virginia Code § 23-5-12a states this Court's shall reverse, vacate, or modify the order or decision of the BOR if the substantial rights of the petitioner or petitioners have been prejudiced because the BOR's findings are:

- (1) In violation of statutory provisions;
- (2) In excess of the statutory authority or jurisdiction of the Board of Review;
- (3) Made upon unlawful procedures;
- (4) Affected by other error of law;
- (5) Clearly wrong in view of the reliable, probative, and substantial evidence on the whole record; or
- (6) Arbitrary or capricious or characterized by abuse of discretion or clearly unwarranted exercise of discretion.

With due consideration to this standard of review, the BOR did not err in concluding the claimant did not prove he met the requisite impairment needed for further PTD consideration.

B. The BOR correctly found the claimant did not have a legal basis to support his onset date argument..

aate argument..

The claimant asserts entitlement to backpay from September 2022 based on W. Va. Code

§ 23-4-18, which mandates that compensation be calculated and paid from the date of disability.

However, this assertion lacks supporting case law and overlooks the precedents set by the West

Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals in <u>Burgess v. State Compensation Commissioner</u>, 121 W. Va.

571, 5 S.E.2d 804 (1939), Dunlap v. State Compensation Director, 149 W. Va. 266, 140 S.E.2d

448 (1965), and Carter v. Spieler, 183 W. Va. 126, 394 S.E.2d 528 (1990). These cases emphasize

the necessity of determining the date of disability in the context of permanent total disability

benefits, which must be established through proper legal and medical evaluation, not permanent

partial disability.

Additionally, W. Va. Code § 23-4-6a requires the percentage of permanent disability due

to occupational pneumoconiosis be determined by the Occupational Pneumoconiosis Board. The

claim administrator adhered to this requirement meaning the onset of disability could not possibly

be prior to the date of the evaluation performed by the Occupational Pneumoconiosis Board.

Without the claimant establishing a clear legal basis for his position, the claimant is not entitled to

the relief he seeks under the applicable legal framework. Accordingly, the BOR did not err either

legally or factually in affirming the claims administrator's order.

VI. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

The employer moves this Court to affirm the BOR's final order dated June 12, 2024.

T. Jonathan Cook, Esq.

WV Bar ID #9057

4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify a true and correct copy of the foregoing brief was served as follows this 21st day of August 2024:

Mr. Sam B. Petsonk 417 East Main Street Oak Hill, WV 25901 sam@petsonk.com

T. Jonathan Cook, Esq