IN THI	E FAMILY COURT OF		COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA		
IN RE: The Marriage / Children Of: Petitioner (First/Middle/Last)			and Respondent (First/Middle/Last) Civil Action No. (Completed by Circuit Clerk's Office.) Respondent (First/Middle/Last)		
		, and			
	PET	ITION FOR	DIVORCE		
facts an	ad allegations are true to the best of my	y personal kno	, the Petitioner, upon oath, state that the following owledge and belief; and if I have provided		
	the boxes and fill in the blanks for all so that NO Are you currently a party to	items that app	oly to your case.		
1.	Respondent has been a resident of W divorce case.	est Virginia f	more than one year prior to filing this divorce case. or more than one year prior to the filing of this Virginia, and at least one of them resides in West		
2. Thi	is action is being brought in		County, West Virginia because:		
	This is the county where the Petition This is the county where the Respond This is the county where the Petition Virginia.	dent now resid	•		
	Other reasons: (Explain)				

SCA-FC-101: Petition For Divorce Revised: 05/2014; TWVSCA Approved: 06/17/2014

Respondent currently resides: (Check only one of the following three items.)						
In County, West Virginia.						
At an address unknown to the Petitioner. Out of state, where the last known address was						
Out of state, where the	ast known address wa					
			County, in the state of			
	, on the	day of	·			
Petitioner and Respondent l	ast lived together as sp	oouses in	County, in the s			
of at the address of						
of	_	Petitioner and Respondent separated on the day of				
		day of	·			
	eparated on the		·			
Petitioner and Respondent s that separation has been <u>cor</u>	eparated on the	pted since that date.				
Petitioner and Respondent s that separation has been <u>cor</u> YES NO a. Is eith	eparated on theetinuous and uninterruler party to this case un	pted since that date.				
Petitioner and Respondent s that separation has been <u>cor</u> YES NO a. Is eith YES NO b. Is eith	eparated on theetinuous and uninterruler party to this case un	pted since that date.	en?			
Petitioner and Respondent s that separation has been <u>cor</u> YES NO a. Is eith YES NO b. Is eith the Ur	eparated on theetinuous and uninterruper party to this case under party to this case cut	nder the age of eighten	en?			
Petitioner and Respondent s that separation has been cor YES NO a. Is eith YES NO b. Is eith the Ur YES NO c. Is eith	eparated on theetinuous and uninterruper party to this case uner party to this case cutaited States?	pted since that date. Inder the age of eighter Contract of t	en?			
Petitioner and Respondent s that separation has been cor YES NO a. Is eith YES NO b. Is eith the Ur YES NO c. Is eith	eparated on theetinuous and uninterruper party to this case uner party to this case cunited States? er party to this case leger party to this case cure party to this case cure party to this case cure.	pted since that date. Inder the age of eighter Contract of t	en?			
Petitioner and Respondent set that separation has been core YES NO a. Is eith YES NO b. Is eith the Ure YES NO c. Is eith YES NO d. Is eith	eparated on theetinuous and uninterruper party to this case uner party to this case cunited States? er party to this case leger party to this case curve party to this case curve the parents of:	nder the age of eightenerently serving on actually incompetent?	en? tive duty with the military service			
Petitioner and Respondent set that separation has been core YES NO a. Is eith YES NO b. Is eith the Ure YES NO c. Is eith YES NO d. Is eith Petitioner and Respondent and R	eparated on theetinuous and uninterruler party to this case uner party to this case cultited States? er party to this case leger party to this case cultiter party to this case cultiter the parents of:	nder the age of eighter arrently serving on act gally incompetent? arrently incarcerated?	en? tive duty with the military service			
Petitioner and Respondent set that separation has been core YES NO a. Is eith YES NO b. Is eith the Ure YES NO c. Is eith YES NO d. Is eit	eparated on theetinuous and uninterruler party to this case uner party to this case cultited States? er party to this case leger party to this case cultiter party to this case cultiter the parents of:	nder the age of eighter arrently serving on act gally incompetent? arrently incarcerated?	en? tive duty with the military service			
Petitioner and Respondent set that separation has been correctly YES NO a. Is either the Ure YES NO c. Is either YES NO d. Is	eparated on theetinuous and uninterruper party to this case uner party to this case cunited States? er party to this case legar party to this case cunite party to this case cunite the parents of: during this marriage, and es and dates of birth and the parents of the p	nder the age of eightenerently serving on actually incompetent? arrently incarcerated? and no children are expanse:	en? tive duty with the military service pected.			
Petitioner and Respondent set that separation has been correctly YES NO a. Is either the Ure YES NO c. Is either YES NO d. Is	eparated on theetinuous and uninterruper party to this case uner party to this case cunited States? er party to this case legar party to this case cunite party to this case cunite the parents of: during this marriage, and es and dates of birth and the parents of the p	nder the age of eightenerently serving on actually incompetent? arrently incarcerated? and no children are expanse:	en? tive duty with the military service pected.			
Petitioner and Respondent set that separation has been correctly YES NO a. Is either the Ure YES NO c. Is either YES NO d. Is	eparated on theetinuous and uninterruper party to this case uner party to this case cunited States? er party to this case legar party to this case cunite party to this case cunite the parents of: during this marriage, and es and dates of birth and the parents of the p	nder the age of eightenerently serving on actually incompetent? arrently incarcerated? and no children are expanse:	en? tive duty with the military service pected.			

9. '	The children currently live with: Petitioner Respondent. Another person, or persons, whose name(s) and address(es) are: During the last <u>five</u> years, if any of the children have lived at addresses other than their current address, use the following space to list where they lived, and for how long. <i>If there is not enough room in the following space, use an additional sheet of paper</i> .				
t					
[I have atta Child's N		additional sheet(s). Address	Date of Residence	
11.	Who provi	des health inst	urance for the children?		
	Petition Anoth		spondent Medicaid Wese name and address is	VV CHIP	
	The W free or	est Virginia (low cost heal	9	n (WV CHIP) can help parents obtain information, call 1-877-982-2447, or ask	
12.	Answer al	l of the follow	ing questions.		
	YES		the Petitioner been a party or witness in ning the allocation of custodial respon-		
	YES		e Petitioner aware of any other proceed erning allocation of custodial responsib		
	YES		e Petitioner aware of any person other ical custody of or claims any custodial		

13. Check all of the following items that apply.

The children have resided in West Virginia for at least 6 months immediately preceding the filing of this case, or from birth if less than six months old.

The Petitioner believes it is in the best interest of the children for a West Virginia court to assume jurisdiction of this case, because one or both parents have a significant connection to West Virginia, and West Virginia is the location of a substantial number of witnesses and/or other sources of evidence relating to the children's care and upbringing.

The children are now present in West Virginia, and have been abandoned here.

The children are now present in West Virginia, and the Petitioner believes it is necessary for a West Virginia court to assume jurisdiction of this case on an emergency basis to protect the children, because the children have been subjected to or threatened with mistreatment or abuse, or have otherwise been neglected, or are depending on persons other than their parents.

The Petitioner believes no other state has jurisdiction over this case, and it would be in the children's best interest for a West Virginia court to assume jurisdiction.

Another state has declined to assume jurisdiction over this case on the ground West Virginia is the best place to decide matters relating to the allocation of custodial responsibility for the children, and for this reason, the Petitioner believes it would be in the children's best interest for a West Virginia court to assume jurisdiction.

14. Check all of the following items that apply to your case.

Prior to the separation, both parents performed a reasonable share of the caretaking and parenting functions for the children. For this reason, the Petitioner believes it is appropriate for the parents to continue to share the authority for making significant decisions relating to the children's care and upbringing. The Petitioner also believes custodial responsibility for the children should be allocated in proportion to the time each parent spent in caretaking and parenting functions before the separation.

Prior to the separation, the Petitioner performed most, if not all of the caretaking and parenting functions for the children. For this reason, the Petitioner believes it is appropriate for the Petitioner to have <u>sole</u> authority for making significant decisions relating to the children's care and upbringing. The Petitioner also believes custodial responsibility for the children should be allocated between the parties in proportion to the time each parent spent performing caretaking duties prior to the parties' separation.

15. Check all of the following items that apply to your case.

The Respondent has:

Abused, neglected, or abandoned one or more of the children.

Sexually assaulted or abused one or more of the children.

Engaged in acts of domestic violence.

Repeatedly interfered with Petitioner's access to or contact with the children.

Repeatedly made false reports or accusations of domestic violence or child abuse.

Page 4 of 7

15. (continued) Check all of the following items that apply to your case.

For these reasons, the Petitioner believes:

It is in the children's best interest that the authority for making significant decisions relating to the children's care and upbringing be allocated to the Petitioner alone.

The court should impose limits on the Respondent's custodial responsibility for, and contact with, the children.

The Respondent should not be allocated any custodial responsibility, or permitted any contact with the children unless the court specifically finds such custodial responsibility or contact will not endanger the children, or the Petitioner.

- **16.** Petitioner is in need of spousal support.
- 17. Petitioner is in need of support for the minor children.
- 18. Over the course of the marriage, the Petitioner and Respondent have accumulated marital property and/ or debts which the Court will have to divide.
- 19. Petitioner wishes to resume using the name
- **20.** Irreconcilable differences have arisen between the parties.
- 21. The parties have lived separate and apart without cohabitation for one year or more.
- 22. The Respondent has inflicted cruel and inhuman treatment upon the Petitioner which has destroyed or tended to destroy the mental or physical well-being of the Petitioner, and which renders continued cohabitation with the Respondent unsafe and unendurable.

23.	Other grounds for divorce:					

(Consult the Code of West Virginia for information regarding the grounds for divorce.)

THEREFORE, the Petitioner asks the Court to grant a divorce, and to grant such other relief as the Court considers proper, including the matters specifically stated below:

Approve the Proposed Parenting Plan filed by the Petitioner.

Order the Respondent to pay support for the minor children.

Order the Respondent to maintain health insurance coverage on the children, if reasonably available, and to assist with reasonable health care expenses not covered by insurance or by a government medical card.

Order the Respondent to pay spousal support.

Make a fair and equitable division of marital property.

Award the Petitioner / Respondent the exclusive use and possession of the marital home located at _____

Award the vehicles:	Petitioner/	Respondent the exclusive use and possessi	on of the following motor
Award the	Petitioner/ ces located in th	Respondent the exclusive use and possessine marital home.	on of the furniture, furnishings
Award the P	etitioner the ex	clusive use, possession, and ownership of th	e following marital property:
Description	n of Property		Estimated Value
			\$
			\$
			\$
			\$
			\$
Order that the	ne Petitioner be	held solely responsible for the following del	bts:
Description	n of Debt		Amount Owed
			\$
			\$
			\$
			\$
			\$
Order that the	ne Respondent l	be held solely responsible for the following of	lebts:
Description	n of Debt		Amount Owed
			\$
			\$
			\$
			\$
			\$
time the Cou	art divides the p	om conveying or otherwise disposing of any property.	marital property prior to the

Prohibit the Respondent from annoying, abusing, threatening, or interfering with the personal liberty

and safety of the Petitioner.

Grant this other relief:		
Petitioner's Signature	Date	
You must sign the following Verification	before a Notary Public or Deputy Circ	uit Clerk.
	IFICATION	
VERI	IFICATION	
Ι,	, after making an oath or affirmatio	on to tell the truth,
say that the facts I have stated in this Petition are tru	e to the best of my personal knowledge a	and belief; and if I
have provided information given to me by others, I l	believe that information to be true.	
Signature	Date	
This Verification was sworn to or affirmed before m	ne on the day of	20
	o on and any or	
	Notary Public / Other Official	
My commission expires:	·	