	IN THE FAMILY COURT OF		COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA		
IN RE: The Marriage / Children Of:			Civil Action No. (Completed by Circuit Clerk's Office.)		
			(Completed by Circuit Clerk's Office.)		
Pet	itioner (First/Middle/Last)	, and	Respondent (First/Middle/Last)		
	PETI	TION FOR	DIVORCE		
	I,	, th	e Petitioner, upon oath, state that the following facts		
anc			ge and belief; and if I have provided information		
giv	en to me by others, I believe that information	on to be true.			
<u>Ch</u>	eck the boxes and fill in the blanks for all it	tems that app	oly to your case.		
	YES NO Are you currently a party to	a domestic	violence proceeding?		
1.	Petitioner has been a resident of West	Virginia for	more than one year prior to filing this divorce case.		
	Respondent has been a resident of We divorce case.	est Virginia f	for more than one year prior to the filing of this		
	Petitioner and Respondent were marri Virginia at this time.	ed in West V	Virginia, and at least one of them resides in West		
2.	This action is being brought in		County, West Virginia because:		
	☐ This is the county where the Petitione	r and Respon	ndent last lived together as spouses.		
	☐ This is the county where the Respond	ent now resid	des.		
	This is the county where the Petitione Virginia.	tioner now resides, and the Respondent is not a resident of West			
	Other reasons: (Explain)				

3.	Petitioner currently resides					
1 .	Respondent currently resident	es: (Check <u>only one</u> of	the following three	items.)		
	☐ InCounty, West Virginia.					
	☐ At an address unknown	to the Petitioner.				
	Out of state, where the	last known address was	S			
5.	Petitioner and Respondent	were married in		County, in the state of		
				·		
6.				County, in the state		
	of	_ at the address of				
	-			1		
	Petitioner and Respondent	separated on the	day of	, and		
-	Petitioner and Respondent that separation has been <u>co</u>	ntinuous and uninterru	pted since that date.			
7.	Petitioner and Respondent that separation has been <u>co</u> YESNO a. Is eith	ntinuous and uninterrupter party to this case und	pted since that date.	een?		
7.	Petitioner and Respondent that separation has been <u>co</u> YESNO a. Is eithYESNO b. Is eith	ntinuous and uninterrupter party to this case und	pted since that date.			
7.	Petitioner and Respondent that separation has been <u>co</u> YESNO a. Is eithYESNO b. Is eith	er party to this case under party to this case currented States?	pted since that date. der the age of eighter rrently serving on a	een?		
7.	Petitioner and Respondent that separation has been co YES NO a. Is eith YES NO b. Is eith the Un	er party to this case und er party to this case cur- nited States? er party to this case leg	pted since that date. der the age of eighter rrently serving on a gally incompetent?	een? ctive duty with the military services o		
	Petitioner and Respondent that separation has been co YES NO a. Is eith YES NO b. Is eith the Un YES NO c. Is eith YES NO d. Is eith	er party to this case und the party to this case currented States? The party to this case legater party to this case legater party to this case currented states?	pted since that date. der the age of eighter rrently serving on a gally incompetent?	een? ctive duty with the military services o		
7.	Petitioner and Respondent that separation has been co YES NO a. Is eith the Un YES NO c. Is eith TYES NO d. Is eith Petitioner and Respondent	er party to this case und the party to this case currented States? The party to this case leg the party to this case leg the party to this case currented states?	pted since that date. der the age of eighter rrently serving on a gally incompetent? rrently incarcerated	een? ctive duty with the military services of		
	Petitioner and Respondent that separation has been co YES NO a. Is eith the Un YES NO c. Is eith the Un YES NO d. Is eith Petitioner and Respondent No children were born of	er party to this case under party to this case currented States? er party to this case leguer party to this case leguer party to this case currented are the parents of: during this marriage, and	pted since that date. der the age of eighter rrently serving on a gally incompetent? rrently incarcerated and no children are e	een? ctive duty with the military services of		
	Petitioner and Respondent that separation has been compared by the separation of the separation has been compared by the separation has be	er party to this case under party to this case currented States? er party to this case legater party to this case legater party to this case currented are the parents of: during this marriage, armes and dates of birth a	pted since that date. der the age of eighter rrently serving on a gally incompetent? rrently incarcerated and no children are eare:	een? ctive duty with the military services of the control of the c		
	Petitioner and Respondent that separation has been co YES NO a. Is eith the Un YES NO c. Is eith the Un YES NO d. Is eith Petitioner and Respondent No children were born of	er party to this case under party to this case currented States? er party to this case leguer party to this case leguer party to this case currented are the parents of: during this marriage, and	pted since that date. der the age of eighter rrently serving on a gally incompetent? rrently incarcerated and no children are e	een? ctive duty with the military services of		
	Petitioner and Respondent that separation has been compared by the separation of the separation has been compared by the separation has be	er party to this case under party to this case currented States? er party to this case legater party to this case legater party to this case currented are the parents of: during this marriage, armes and dates of birth a	pted since that date. der the age of eighter rrently serving on a gally incompetent? rrently incarcerated and no children are eare:	een? ctive duty with the military services of the control of the c		
	Petitioner and Respondent that separation has been compared by the separation of the separation has been compared by the separation has be	er party to this case under party to this case currented States? er party to this case legater party to this case legater party to this case currented are the parents of: during this marriage, armes and dates of birth a	pted since that date. der the age of eighter rrently serving on a gally incompetent? rrently incarcerated and no children are eare:	een? ctive duty with the military services of the control of the c		
	Petitioner and Respondent that separation has been compared by the separation of the separation has been compared by the separation has be	er party to this case under party to this case currented States? er party to this case legater party to this case legater party to this case currented are the parents of: during this marriage, armes and dates of birth a	pted since that date. der the age of eighter rrently serving on a gally incompetent? rrently incarcerated and no children are eare:	een? ctive duty with the military services of the control of the c		

The children currently	live with: Petitioner Respondent.	
Another person, or	persons, whose name(s) and address(es) are	e:
<u> </u>	rs, if any of the children have lived at addr ist where they lived, and for how long. <i>If t</i>	· ·
space, use an additional	•	nere is ner energy, reem in me jene wing
I have attached	additional sheet(s).	
Child's Name	Address	Date of Residence
. Who provides health in	surance for the children?	
Petitioner	Respondent Medicaid WV	CHIP
Another person, w	hose name and address is	
☐ The children DO N	OT have health insurance coverage.	
The West Virginia	Children's Health Insurance Program (WV CHIP) can help parents obtain
	alth care for their children. For more in	formation, call 1-877-982-2447, or ask
the Family Court S	taff about WV CHIP.	
. Answer all of the follo	wing questions.	
	s the Petitioner been a party or witness in a neerning the allocation of custodial respons	
	the Petitioner aware of any other proceedin accerning allocation of custodial responsibil	
	the Petitioner aware of any person other that	•

13.	Check all of the following items that apply.
	☐ The children have resided in West Virginia for at least 6 months immediately preceding the filing of this case, <u>or</u> from birth if less than six months old.
	The Petitioner believes it is in the best interest of the children for a West Virginia court to assume jurisdiction of this case, because one or both parents have a significant connection to West Virginia, and West Virginia is the location of a substantial number of witnesses and/or other sources of evidence relating to the children's care and upbringing.
	☐ The children are now present in West Virginia, and have been abandoned here.
	The children are now present in West Virginia, and the Petitioner believes it is necessary for a West Virginia court to assume jurisdiction of this case on an emergency basis to protect the children, because the children have been subjected to or threatened with mistreatment or abuse, or have otherwise been neglected, or are depending on persons other than their parents.
	☐ The Petitioner believes no other state has jurisdiction over this case, and it would be in the children's best interest for a West Virginia court to assume jurisdiction.
	Another state has declined to assume jurisdiction over this case on the ground West Virginia is the best place to decide matters relating to the allocation of custodial responsibility for the children, and for this reason, the Petitioner believes it would be in the children's best interest for a West Virginia court to assume jurisdiction.
14.	Check all of the following items that apply to your case.
	Prior to the separation, both parents performed a reasonable share of the caretaking and parenting functions for the children. For this reason, the Petitioner believes it is appropriate for the parents to continue to <u>share</u> the authority for making significant decisions relating to the children's care and upbringing. The Petitioner also believes custodial responsibility for the children should be allocated in proportion to the time each parent spent in caretaking and parenting functions before the separation.
	Prior to the separation, the Petitioner performed most, if not all of the caretaking and parenting functions for the children. For this reason, the Petitioner believes it is appropriate for the Petitioner to have <u>sole</u> authority for making significant decisions relating to the children's care and upbringing. The Petitioner also believes custodial responsibility for the children should be allocated between the parties in proportion to the time each parent spent performing caretaking duties prior to the parties' separation.
15.	Check all of the following items that apply to your case.
	The Respondent has:
	Abused, neglected, or abandoned one or more of the children.
	Sexually assaulted or abused one or more of the children.
	Engaged in acts of domestic violence.
	Repeatedly interfered with Petitioner's access to or contact with the children.
	Repeatedly made false reports or accusations of domestic violence or child abuse.

15.	(co	ntinued) Check all of the following items that apply to your case.
	For	these reasons, the Petitioner believes:
		It is in the children's best interest that the authority for making significant decisions relating to the children's care and upbringing be allocated to the Petitioner <u>alone</u> .
		The court should impose limits on the Respondent's custodial responsibility for, and contact with, the children.
		The Respondent should not be allocated any custodial responsibility, or permitted any contact with the children unless the court specifically finds such custodial responsibility or contact will not endanger the children, or the Petitioner.
16.		Petitioner is in need of spousal support.
17.		Petitioner is in need of support for the minor children.
18.		Over the course of the marriage, the Petitioner and Respondent have accumulated marital property and/or debts which the Court will have to divide.
19.		Petitioner wishes to resume using the name
20.	Irre	concilable differences have arisen between the parties.
21.		The parties have lived separate and apart without cohabitation for one year or more.
22.		The Respondent has inflicted cruel and inhuman treatment upon the Petitioner which has destroyed or tended to destroy the mental or physical well-being of the Petitioner, and which renders continued cohabitation with the Respondent unsafe and unendurable.
23.		Other grounds for divorce:
		(Consult the Code of West Virginia for information regarding the grounds for divorce.)
coi		HEREFORE, the Petitioner asks the Court to grant a divorce, and to grant such other relief as the Court ers proper, including the matters specifically stated below:
		Approve the Proposed Parenting Plan filed by the Petitioner.
		Order the Respondent to pay support for the minor children.
		Order the Respondent to maintain health insurance coverage on the children, if reasonably available, and to assist with reasonable health care expenses not covered by insurance or by a government medical card.
		Order the Respondent to pay spousal support.
		Make a fair and equitable division of marital property.
		Award the Petitioner / Respondent the exclusive use and possession of the marital home
		located at

SCA-FC-101: Petition For Divorce

Award the Petitioner/ Respondent the exclusive use and possess vehicles:	ion of the following motor
Award the Petitioner/ Respondent the exclusive use and possess and appliances located in the marital home.	sion of the furniture, furnishings
Award the Petitioner the <u>exclusive</u> use, possession, and ownership of the	ne following marital property:
Description of Property	Estimated Value
	\$
	\$
	\$
	\$
	\$
Order that the Petitioner be held <u>solely</u> responsible for the following de	ebts:
Description of Debt	Amount Owed
	\$
	\$
	\$
	\$
	\$
Order that the Respondent be held <u>solely</u> responsible for the following	debts:
Description of Debt	Amount Owed
	\$
	\$
	\$
	\$
	\$
Prohibit the Respondent from conveying or otherwise disposing of any time the Court divides the property.	marital property prior to the
Grant Petitioner the right to resume using the name	
Prohibit the Respondent from annoying, abusing, threatening, or interferand safety of the Petitioner.	ering with the personal liberty

☐ Grant this other relief:			
Detition and Cionatons		Dete	
Petitioner's Signature		Date	
You <u>must</u> sign the following Verification	on <u>before a Nota</u>	ry Public or Deputy Circuit Cle	<u>·k.</u>
VE	RIFICATION		
I,	, after ma	aking an oath or affirmation to tell	the truth,
say that the facts I have stated in this Petition are	true to the best of	f my personal knowledge and belie	ef; and if I
have provided information given to me by others,	I believe that inf	formation to be true.	
Signature		Date	
This Verification was sworn to or affirmed before	e me on the	day of	20
	Notary	Public / Other Official	
My commission expires:		·	