Starcher, J., concurring:

FILED

January 5, 2001 RORY L. PERRY II, CLERK
SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS
RORY L. PERRY II, CLERK
SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF WEST VIRGINIA

RELEASED

January 5, 2001 RORY L. PERRY II, CLERK OF WEST VIRGINIA

I concur with the result in the majority opinion, because any delay in delivering the petitioner's request for final disposition of the charges against him was accidental, and because any prejudice to the petitioner was minimal.

However, in a case where a substantial delay was deliberate or where the effect of any delay was substantially prejudicial, the "strict compliance" rule cannot be solely determinative of a defendant's rights. The state may not break its own rules and procedures and thereby gain an unfair advantage against a criminal defendant.