IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF McDOWELL COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

CNX GAS COMPANY LLC, Petitioner,

Civil Action No.: 16-C-135-M

THE HONORABLE MARK MATKOVICH West Virginia State Tax Commissioner,

THE HONORABLE DENNIS C. ALTIZER Assessor of McDowell County,

and

v.

THE COUNTY COMMISSON OF McDOWELL COUNTY, Respondents.

ANSWER OF THE HONORABLE DENNIS C. ALTIZER, ASSESSOR OF McDOWELL COUNTY and THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF McDOWELL COUNTY

Comes now The Honorable Dennis C. Altizer, Assessor of McDowell County (the "Assessor") and The County Commission of McDowell County (the "County Commission"), by and through counsel, Edward J. Kornish, in order to Answer the Complaint filed in this matter.

The Complaint was filed with the Circuit Court of McDowell County on or about December 21, 2016. The McDowell County Prosecuting Attorney's Office was served on or about January 4, 2017.

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

The Assessor and County Commission join the State Tax Commissioner of the State of West Virginia (the "Tax Department") and adopt it's Answer to the Complaint (Exhibit A), and

additionally, supplement the following. Moreover, although the paragraphs in the Complaint are not individually numbered as required pursuant to the Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 10, the Assessor and County Commission will supplement to the Tax Commissioner's Answer to the Complaint as if the paragraphs were numbered as required.

7. The Assessor and County Commission denies that the appeal before the Circuit Court of McDowell County was filed timely. The County Commission's decision can be appealed within 30 days to the McDowell County Circuit Court. Here, a hearing was held before the Board of Assessment Appeals on October 31, 2016. The County Commission denied CNX Gas's appeal at that time and by letter dated November 1, 2016 (Exhibit B). CNX Gas then had thirty (30) days to appeal to the McDowell Circuit Court¹. CNX Gas did not file said appeal until on or about December 21, 2016 (Exhibit C).

<u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NUMBER 1</u>

 The Assessor relied upon the Tax Department in its assessment². Assessor was proper in relying upon said assessment, and the Tax Department had previously denied an adjustment to CNX Gas.

¹ See W. Va. Code § 11-3-25.

² See W. Va.Code § 18-9A-11; Tug Valley Recovery Ctr., Inc. v. Mingo Cnty, Comm'n, 164 W.Va. 94 at Syl. Pt. 5, 261 S.E.2d 165 (W. Va. 1979); Collett v. E. Royalty, LLC, 232 W.Va. 126 at Syl. Pt. 5, 751 S.E.2d 12 (W.Va. 2013); See also W. Va.Code § 11-1C-10(g).

WHEREFORE, Respondents request the complaint filed herein against them be dismissed and stricken from the record, and they be awarded reasonable costs and fees for defending said action.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, THE HONORABLE DENNIS C. ALTIZER Assessor of McDowell County

and

THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF McDOWELL COUNTY,

By Counsel

Edward J. Kornish (Bar ID# 5512)

Prosecuting Attorney

93 Wyonning Street, Suite 207 Welch, WV 24801 (304) 436-8551

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Edward J. Kornish, hereby certify that I have served a true and accurate copy of the foregoing Answer has been supplied by U.S. Mail, prepaid postage or hand-delivery, on this the day of January 2017, to the following interested parties:

Craig A. Griffith
John J. Meadows
P.O. Box 1588
Charleston, West Virginia 25326-1588

L. Wayne Williams
Assistant Attorney General
1900 Kanawha Boulevard, East
Building 1, Room W-435
Charleston, West Virginia 25305

Edward J. Koynish

EXHIBIT A

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MCDOWELL COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

CONSOL ENERGY INC.
DBA CNX GAS COMPANY, LLC,

Petitioner,

٧.

Civil Action No. 16-C-135

THE HONORABLE MARK W. MATKOVICH,
West Virginia State Tax Commissioner,
THE HONORABLE DENNIS C. ALTIZER,
Assessor of McDowell County,
THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF McDOWELL COUNTY,
Sitting as a Board of Assessment Appeals,

Respondents.

ANSWER OF WEST VIRGINIA STATE TAX DEPARTMENT TO COMPLAINT

COMBS NOW Mark W. Matkovich, State Tax Commissioner of the State of West Virginia (hereinafter, collectively referred to as "Tax Commissioner" or "Tax Department"), by counsel, in order to Answer the *Complaint* filed in this matter and states as follows. The *Complaint* was filed with the Circuit Court of McDowell County on or about December 21, 2016 and served on the Tax Department on or about December 28, 2016.

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

The paragraphs in the *Complaint* are not individually numbered as required pursuant to the Rules of Civil Procedure; nevertheless, the Tax Department will respond to the *Complaint* as if the paragraphs were numbered as required.

1. The Tax Department admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint.

- 2. Paragraph 2 of the Complaint summarizes the law and mechanical procedures regarding the valuation of property for ad valorem tax purposes. No response is required. To the extent that a response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that it failed to correctly value CNX Gas's property for tax purposes and states that legal conclusions will be determined by the Court. Furthermore, the procedures applied by the Tax Department are set forth in the testimony of Cindi Hoover, Senior Appraiser, as recorded in the transcript of the October 2016 hearing.
- The Tax Department states that sentence 1 of Paragraph 3 of the Complaint 3. generally summarizes the law and mechanical procedures regarding the valuation of property for ad valorem tax purposes. No response is required. The Tax Department admits that it invited taxpayers to submit actual operating expenses per well for review and consideration by the Property Tax Division in prior years as alleged in the first part of sentence 2 of Paragraph 3. The Tax Department lacks sufficient information to form an opinion with regards to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in of sentence 2; consequently, those allegations are denied. The Tax Department states that the applicable legislative rules do not authorize the Tax Department to utilize statewide allocated operating expenses for individual taxpayers as demanded by CNX Gas; furthermore, CNX Gas has failed to cite any statutory authority for the use of statewide CNX's allocated operating expenses for individual taxpayers as demanded by the Taxpayer. The Tax Department denies that CNX submitted actual operating expenses per well for review and consideration as alleged in sentence 3 of Paragraph 3; the Tax Department states that CNX submitted allocated expenses in prior years contrary to the allegations set forth in sentence 3 of Paragraph 3. The Tax Department admits that Administrative Notice 2016-08 does not invite taxpayers to submit actual operating expenses per well for review and

(M0141458.1) 2

consideration by the Property Tax Division as in prior years as alleged in the first part of sentence 4 of Paragraph 3. The Tax Department states that Administrative Notice 2016-08 complies with the applicable state law and legislative rules contrary to the remaining allegations set forth in sentence 4 of Paragraph 3. The Tax Department denies that CNX submitted actual operating expenses per well for review and consideration as alleged in sentence 5 of Paragraph 3. The Tax Department denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint. To the extent that a further response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that it failed to correctly value the Petitioner's property for tax purposes and states that legal conclusions will be determined by the Court.

- 4. The Tax Department denies that CNX Gas provided actual operating expenses for each gas well under protest in McDowell County as alleged in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint. The Tax Department admits that the valuation for the 2016 tax year is based on the operating results for the 2014 calendar year as alleged in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint. The Tax Department lacks sufficient information to determine the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint regarding the basis on which CNX Gas calculated operating expenses; consequently, those allegations are denied.
- 5. The Tax Department admits that Administrative Notice 2016-08 established the direct ordinary operating expenses for the various types of oil and gas wells as alleged in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint. The Tax Department further states that direct ordinary operating expenses are set forth with particularity in Administrative Notice 2016-08. The Tax Department denies that CNX Gas provided actual operating expenses for the gas wells under protest as alleged in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint. The Tax Department denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 5.

(MO141458.1) 3

- 6. The Tax Department admits that CNX Gas appeared at a Board of Assessment Appeals hearing in October 2016, presented a report from Altus group, and that the BAA made no changes to the Tax Department's valuation as alleged in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint. However, the Tax Department denies that CNX presented clear and convincing evidence to support its protest, also that CNX provided actual operating expenses for each well under protest, and the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint.
- 7. The Tax Department lacks sufficient information to determine whether the appeal before the Circuit Court of McDowell County was filed timely; consequently, that allegation is denied. The Tax Department denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint.
- 8. The Tax Department admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint.
- 9. The Tax Department lacks sufficient information to determine the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint; consequently, those allegations are denied. The Tax Department denies that CNX's "average operating costs" represent the actual operating expenses per well—actual operating expenses for each well under protest—as alleged in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint.
- 10. Paragraph 10 of the Complaint summarizes some of the mechanical procedures regarding the valuation of property for ad valorem tax purposes. No response is required. To the extent that a response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that it failed to correctly value the Petitioner's property for tax purposes and states that legal conclusions will be determined by the Court.

- 11. The Tax Department admits that in prior years the Tax Department stated in the administrative notices that it would consider, and possibly use, the actual operating expenses from individual producers in the valuation of the property as alleged in the first sentence of Paragraph 11 of the Complaint. The Tax Department denies that it is authorized by statute or legislative rule to use actual operating expenses for each well for individual taxpayers in valuing the property instead of the "average annual industry operating expenses" required pursuant to W.Va. St. R. § 110-1J-4.3 as alleged in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint; the Tax Department demands strict proof thereof. The Tax Department further denies that CNX submitted actual operating expenses per well as alleged in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint.
- 12. The Tax Department admits the allegations set forth in the first sentence of Paragraph 12 of the Complaint. The Tax Department denies the allegations set forth in the second sentence of Paragraph 12 of the Complaint. The Tax Department admits the allegations set forth in the third sentence of Paragraph 12 of the Complaint.
- 13. The Tax Department admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint.
- 14. The Tax Department denies that it failed to support the refusal to accept CNX's proffered allocated expenses with credible evidence as alleged in the first part of Paragraph 14. Furthermore, the Tax Department admits that it questioned CNX's "apportionment of expenses" since CNX Gas did not provide the actual expenses of each well under protest as alleged in Paragraph 14. The Tax Department admits that it argued its procedures are in accordance with the legislative rules as alleged in Paragraph 14. The Tax Department admits that it would be impractical and expensive to perform a fee appraisal on every gas well challenged by CNX Gas in McDowell County and statewide as alleged in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint.

- The Tax Department admits that Todd Workman, of Altus, testified on behalf of 15. CNX at the Board of Assessment Appeals hearing in October 2016. The Tax Department denies that Mr. Workman's testimony demonstrated that the Tax Department's valuation was erroneous in any manner as alleged in the first sentence of Paragraph 15 of the Complaint and demands strict roof thereof. The Tax Department admits that voluminous pages of documentation were admitted into the record as alleged in the second sentence of Paragraph 15 of the Complaint. The Tax Department denies that CNX Gas provided actual operating expenses for the gas wells under protest in McDowell County as alleged in in the second sentence of Paragraph 15 of the Complaint. Furthermore, the Tax Department denies that it is authorized by statute or legislative rule to use actual operating expenses for each well for individual taxpayers in valuing the property instead of the "average annual industry operating expenses" required pursuant to W.Va. St. R. § 110-1J-4.3 as alleged in the second sentence of Paragraph 15 of the Complaint and demands strict proof thereof. The Tax Department lacks sufficient information to determine the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint; consequently, those allegations are denied.
- 16. The Tax Department admits that Mr. Todd Workman, of Altus, testified regarding the valuation of CNX Gas' property in McDowell County and that Mr. Workman argued that CNX's property should be valued at \$27 million as alleged in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint. The Tax Department denies that Mr. Workman's testimony demonstrated that the CNX wells were overvalued under West Virginia law as alleged Paragraph 16 of the Complaint. The Tax Department further denies that it has valued CNX Gas' property incorrectly in any manner under West Virginia law as alleged in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint. The Tax Department denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint.

(560141458.1)

- 17. The Tax Department admits that CNX Gas protested the valuation of its gas wells as determined by the McDowell County Assessor, appeared at the Board of Assessment Appeals hearing in October 2016, and produced a transcript of the proceedings as alleged in Paragraph 17 of the Complaint. The Tax Department lacks sufficient information to determine the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 17 of the Complaint; consequently, those allegations are denied.
- 18. The Tax Department admits that the Board of Assessment Appeals affirmed the Tax Department's valuation of the gas wells by a letter dated November 1, 2016 and that CNX appealed the decision to the Circuit Court of McDowell County as alleged in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint. The Tax Department lacks sufficient information to form an opinion with regards to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 18; consequently, those allegations are denied.
- 19. Paragraph 19 of the Complaint summarizes the law regarding the valuation of property for ad valorem tax purposes. No response is required. To the extent that a response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that it failed to correctly value the Petitioner's property for tax purposes and states that legal conclusions will be determined by the Court.
- 20. Paragraph 20 of the Complaint summarizes the law and legislative rule regarding the valuation of property for ad valorem tax purposes. No response is required. To the extent that a response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that it failed to correctly value the Petitioner's property for tax purposes and states that legal conclusions will be determined by the Court.
- 21. Paragraph 21 of the *Complaint* summarizes the law and mechanical procedures regarding the valuation of property for *ad valorem* tax purposes. No response is required. To

the extent that a response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that it failed to correctly value the Petitioner's property for tax purposes and states that legal conclusions will be determined by the Court.

- 22. The Tax Department denies the allegations set forth in the first sentence of Paragraph 22 of the Complaint; the Tax Department states that CNX Gas has failed to cite any statutory authority requiring the use of an individual taxpayer's actual operating expenses in calculating the value of gas wells and demands strict proof thereof. Furthermore, the Tax Department denies that CNX presented actual operating expenses for each well under protest and denies that the information provided by CNX is the type of information contemplated by the legislative rule as alleged in the last sentence of Paragraph 22. The remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 22 of the Complaint summarize the law and mechanical procedures regarding the valuation of property for ad valorem tax purposes. No response is required. To the extent that a response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that it failed to correctly value the Petitioner's property for tax purposes and states that legal conclusions will be determined by the Court.
- 23. Paragraph 23 of the Complaint summarizes the law regarding the burden of proof for taxpayers challenging the valuation of property and the record to be reviewed in circuit court for the valuation of property for ad valorem tax purposes. No response is required. To the extent that a response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that it failed to correctly value the Petitioner's property for tax purposes and states that legal conclusions will be determined by the Court.
- 24. The Tax Department denies the allegations set forth in the first sentence of Paragraph 24 of the Complaint. The remainder of Paragraph 24 recites CNX's prayer for relief.

(MO14(458.1) 8

No response is necessary. To the extent that a response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that any relief is warranted in this case.

- 25. The Tax Department denies that it has discretion to select the appraisal methodology for operating oil and natural gas wells as alleged in Paragraph 25 of the Complaint. According to the applicable legislative rules, the value of oil and natural gas producing properties "...shall be determined through the process of applying a yield capitalization model to the net receipts...." See W. Va. St. R. § 110-1J-4.1. The Tax Department is required to use the income approach to value for operating oil and gas wells under the legislative rule. The Tax Department further denies that it failed to correctly apply the yield capitalization model to Petitioner's property for tax purposes and states that legal conclusions will be determined by the Court.
- 26. The Tax Department denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 26 of the Complaint. The Tax Department further denies that CNX provided the actual operating expenses for each well under protest as alleged in Paragraph 26 of the Complaint.
- 27. The Tax Department denies every allegation in the *Complaint* which has not been specifically admitted.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NUMBER 1

28. CNX Gas has failed to cite any statutory authority requiring the Tax Department to use the actual operating expenses for an individual taxpayer in valuing the operating oil and gas wells under protest for ad valorem tax purposes in a mass appraisal environment. The Tax Department demands strict proof thereof.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NUMBER 2

No. 7052 P. 11

29. CNX Gas has failed to provide the actual operating expenses per well for the more than 562 gas wells under protest in McDowell County¹ and statewide. CNX has simply allocated the arithmetic average of its statewide expenses to gas wells.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NUMBER 3

- 30. The applicable legislative rule states:
- 4.3. Average industry operating expenses. The Tax Commissioner shall every five (5) years, determine the average annual industry operating expenses per well. The average annual industry operating expenses shall be deducted from working interest gross receipts to develop an income stream for application of a yield capitalization procedure.
- W. Va. St. R. § 110-1J-4.3 (emphasis added). The Tax Department has correctly valued the operating gas well as required under the applicable legislative rules.

WHEREFORE, State Tax Commissioner prays the Honorable Court DISMISS the Complaint with prejudice and for such additional relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

MARK W. MATKOVICH, STATE TAX COMMISSIONER OF WEST VIRGINIA,

By counsel

PATRICK MORRISEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

L. WAYNE WILLIAMS (WVSB# 4370) ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

1900 Kanawha Boulevard, East

Building 1, Room W-435

Charleston, West Virginia 25305

304-558-2522

¹ See Transcript at P. 5, lines 13-16 regarding the number of gas wells under protest in McDowell County.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MCDOWELL COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

CONSOL ENERGY INC.
DBA CNX GAS COMPANY, LLC,

Petitioner.

٧.

Civil Action No. 16-C-135

THE HONORABLE MARK W. MATKOVICH,
West Virginia State Tax Commissioner,
THE HONORABLE DENNIS C. ALTIZER,
Assessor of McDowell County,
THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF McDOWELL COUNTY,
Sitting as a Board of Assessment Appeals,

Respondents.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, L. Wayne Williams, Assistant Attorney General, do hereby certify that the foregoing "Answer of West Virginia State Tax Department to Complaint" was served upon the following by depositing a copy of the same in the United States Mail, via first-class postage prepaid, this 13th day of January 2017, addressed as follows:

Craig A. Griffith, Esq.
John J. Meadows, Esq.
Steptoe & Johnson, PLLC
P.O. Box 1588
Charleston, WV 25326-1588
Counsel for Petitioner

Dennis C. Altizer McDowell County Assessor 90 Wyoming Street, Suite 110 Welch, WV 24801

The County Commission of McDowell County 90 Wyoming Street, Suite 111 Welch, WV 24801

L. WAYNE WILLIAMS

EXHIBIT B

McDowell County Commission

Phone: (304) 436-8549

109 Wyoming Street Welch, West Virginia 24801

Fax: (304) 436-8572



November 1, 2016

CNX Gas Company LLC Craig A. Griffith John J. Meadows PO Box 1588 Charleston, WV 25326-1588

RE: Civil Action No.: 15-C-143-S

Dear CNX Gas Company LLC:

At the hearing held on October 31, 2016, the Respondent, (McDowell County Commission), by Counsel, Emily K. Miller, has determined that McDowell County has followed the Standards found by the West Virginia State Tax Department. Therefore, at this time the McDowell County Commission is denying any request by CNX Gas Company LLC.

Sincerely,

HAROLD MCBRIDE,

President .

EXHIBIT C

14:40:00 01-23-2017 1 / 1 13044366994

CASE 16-C-135 MCDOWELL

PAGE 0001

CONSOL ENERGY INC. D/B/A CNX G VS. HONORABLE MARK MATKOVICH

13 01/13/17 ANSWER OF WV STATE TAX DEPT. TO COMPLAINT FILED. 14 01/17/17 ANSWER OF WV STATE TAX DEPT. TO COMPLAINT FILED.

LINE	DATE	ACTION
1	12/21/16	COMPLAINT WITH EXHIBIT FILED.
2	12/21/16	COMPLAINT AND SUMMONS ISSUED FOR PERSONAL SERVICE (20 DAYS)
3		BY MCDOWELL SHERIFF'S OFFICE (PLACED IN BOX WITH CK. # 19578 FOR
4		\$50) AS TO:
5		MCDOWELL COUNTY COMMISSION - SERVED 12/27/16
6		HONORABLE DENNIS C. ALTIZER - SERVED 12/27/16
7	12/21/16	COMPLAINT AND SUMMONS ISSUED FOR PERSONAL SERVICE (20 DAYS AND
8		GIVEN BACK TO MESSENGER FOR SERVICE) AS TO:
9		MARK MATKOVICH, TAX COMM SERVED 12/28/16
1.0	01/06/17	COMPLAINT OF PETITIONER CONSOL ENERGY INC. DBA CNX GAS CO. LLC
11		WITH EXHIBIT A FILED.
12	01/13/17	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FILED.