# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA CNX GAS COMPANY, LLC,

Petitioner,

.

v.

a diagram

Civil Action No. 17-C-142

THE HONORABLE DALE W. STEAGER,
State Tax Commissioner,
THE HONORABLE JOSEPH R. ROMANO,
Assessor of Harrison County,
THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF HARRISON COUNTY,

Respondents.

## ANSWER OF WEST VIRGINIA STATE TAX DEPARTMENT TO COMPLAINT

COME NOW Honorable Dale W. Steager, State Tax Commissioner of West Virginia, and the Honorable Joseph R. Romano, Assessor of Harrison County, West Virginia (hereinafter, collectively referred to as "Tax Commissioner" or "Tax Department"), by counsel, in order to Answer the *Complaint* filed in this matter and state as follows.

#### **ANSWER TO COMPLAINT**

The paragraphs in the *Complaint* are not individually numbered as required pursuant to the Rules of Civil Procedure; nevertheless, the Tax Department will respond to the *Complaint* as if the paragraphs were numbered as required.

1. The Tax Department admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint.

- 2. Paragraph 2 of the Complaint summarizes the law and mechanical procedures regarding the valuation of property for ad valorem tax purposes. No response is required. To the extent that a response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that it failed to correctly value the Petitioner's property for tax purposes and states that legal conclusions will be determined by the Court. Furthermore, the procedures applied by the Tax Department are set forth in the testimony of Cindi Hoover, Senior Appraiser, as recorded in the transcript of the October 2016 hearing.
- 3. The Tax Department states that sentence 1 of Paragraph 3 of the Complaint generally summarizes the law and mechanical procedures regarding the valuation of property for ad valorem tax purposes. No response is required. The Tax Department admits that it invited taxpayers to submit actual operating expenses per well for review and consideration by the Property Tax Division as alleged in the first part of sentence 2 of Paragraph 3. The Tax Department lacks sufficient information to form an opinion with regards to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in of sentence 2; consequently, those allegations are denied. The Tax Department states that the applicable legislative rules do not authorize the Tax Department to utilize statewide allocated operating expenses for individual taxpayers as demanded by CNX Gas; furthermore, CNX Gas has failed to cite any statutory authority for the use of statewide CNX's allocated operating expenses for individual taxpayers as demanded by the Taxpayer. The Tax Department denies that CNX submitted actual operating expenses per well for review and consideration as alleged in sentence 3 of Paragraph 3: the Tax Department states that CNX submitted allocated expenses in prior years contrary to the allegations set forth in sentence 3 of Paragraph 3. The Tax Department admits that Administrative Notice 2016-08 does not invite taxpayers to submit actual operating expenses per well for review and consideration by the

(M0187118.1) 2

Property Tax Division as in prior years as alleged in the first part of sentence 4 of Paragraph 3. The Tax Department states that Administrative Notice 2016-08 complies with the applicable state law and legislative rules contrary to the remaining allegations set forth in sentence 4 of Paragraph 3. The Tax Department denies that CNX submitted actual operating expenses per well for review and consideration as alleged in sentence 5 of Paragraph 3. The Tax Department denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 3 of the *Complaint*. To the extent that a further response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that it failed to correctly value the Petitioner's property for tax purposes and states that legal conclusions will be determined by the Court.

- 4. The Tax Department denies that CNX Gas provided actual operating expenses per well as alleged in Paragraph 4 of the *Complaint*. The Tax Department admits that the operating results for the 2014 calendar year serve as the basis for the 2016 tax year assessment as alleged in sentence 2 of Paragraph 4 of the *Complaint*. The Tax Department lacks sufficient information to determine the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 4 of the *Complaint* regarding the basis on which CNX Gas calculated operating expenses; consequently, those allegations are denied.
- 5. Based upon a review of Administrative Notice 2016-08, the Tax Department admits that the direct ordinary operating expense for a conventional gas well is 30% of gross receipts derived from gas production not to exceed \$5,000 per well for the 2016 TY as alleged in sentence 1 of Paragraph 5 of the Complaint. The Tax Department denies the allegations set forth in sentences 2, 4, and 5, of Paragraph 5 of the Complaint. The Tax Department admits that under the legislative rule the "average annual industry operating expense" must be used to calculate the value of producing gas wells as alleged in sentence 3 of Paragraph 5 of the Complaint.

[M0187118.1]

However, the Tax Department further denies that it has erroneously calculated the "average annual industry operating expense" or the value of CNX Gas' 754 gas wells in Harrison County in any manner as alleged in sentence 3 of Paragraph 5 of the *Complaint*.

- 6. The Tax Department admits that CNX Gas appeared at a Board of Assessment Appeals hearing in October 2016, presented a report from Altus group, and that the BAA made no changes to the Tax Department's valuation as alleged in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint. However, the Tax Department denies that CNX presented clear and convincing evidence to support its protest, also that CNX provided actual operating expenses for each well, and the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint.
- 7. The Tax Department lacks sufficient information to determine whether the appeal before the Circuit Court of Harrison County was filed timely; consequently, that allegation is denied. The Tax Department denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint.
- 8. The Tax Department admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint.
- 9. The Tax Department denies that CNX Gas submitted actual operating expenses per well for each of the 754 wells under protest as alleged in sentence 1 of Paragraph 9 of the Complaint. The Tax Department admits that it utilized "average annual industry operating expense" and not CNX Gas' proffered substitute value to calculate the value of CNX Gas' 754 wells as alleged in sentence 5 of Paragraph 9 of the Complaint. The Tax Department lacks sufficient information to determine the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint; consequently, those allegations are denied. The Tax Department denies that CNX's "average operating costs" represent the actual operating expenses per well—

4

actual operating expenses for each well under protest—as alleged in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint.

- 10. Paragraph 10 of the *Complaint* summarizes some of the mechanical procedures regarding the valuation of property for *ad valorem* tax purposes. No response is required. To the extent that a response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that it failed to correctly value the Petitioner's property for tax purposes and states that legal conclusions will be determined by the Court.
- 11. The Tax Department admits that in prior years the Tax Department stated in the administrative notices that it would consider, and possibly use, the actual operating expenses from individual producers in the valuation of the property as alleged in the first sentence of Paragraph 11 of the Complaint. The Tax Department denies that it is authorized by statute or legislative rule to use actual operating expenses for each well for individual taxpayers in valuing the property instead of the "average annual industry operating expenses" required pursuant to W.Va. St. R. § 110-1J-4.3 as alleged in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint; the Tax Department demands strict proof thereof. The Tax Department further denies that CNX submitted actual operating expenses per well as alleged in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint. The Tax Department lacks sufficient information to determine the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint; consequently, those allegations are denied.
- 12. The Tax Department admits the allegations set forth in the first sentence of Paragraph 12 of the *Complaint*. The Tax Department denies the allegations set forth in the second sentence of Paragraph 12 of the *Complaint*. The Tax Department admits the allegations set forth in sentences 3 & 4 of Paragraph 12 of the *Complaint*.

- 13. The Tax Department admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint.
- 14. The Tax Department denies that it failed to support the refusal to accept CNX's proffered allocated expenses with credible evidence as alleged in Paragraph 14. The Tax Department admits that it argued the Property Tax Division correctly applied the procedures outlined in the legislative rule and that it would not be feasible to utilize the actual expenses for each of the 754 wells operated by CNX Gas in Harrison County (assuming that the actual operating expenses were proffered by the taxpayer) as alleged in Paragraph 14.
- 15. The Tax Department admits that Kirsten Evans, of Altus, testified on behalf of CNX at the Board of Assessment Appeals hearing in October 2016, as alleged in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint. The Tax Department admits that two pages were admitted into the record as Exhibit 4 which were based on information contained in the CNX Gas Form 10-K for the 2014 calendar year as alleged in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint. The Tax Department admits that voluminous documentation was submitted into the record by CNX Gas as alleged in sentence 2 of Paragraph 15 of the Complaint. The Tax Department denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint.
- 16. The Tax Department admits that Kirsten Evans, of Altus, testified regarding an impairment test performed by CNX as alleged in sentence 1 of Paragraph 16 of the Complaint. The Tax Department admits that Kirsten Evans testified regarding the operating expenses as alleged in sentence 2 of Paragraph 16. However, the Tax Department denies that Ms. Evans' testimony regarding the impairment test and the operating expenses demonstrated that the 754 CNX gas wells were overvalued under West Virginia law. The Tax Department denies the allegations set forth in sentences 3 and 4 of Paragraph 16. The Tax Department admits that

(MO187118.1) 6

CNX Gas valued the Harrison County gas wells at \$21.2 million as alleged in sentence 5 of Paragraph 16; the Tax Department denies that \$21.2 million is the true and actual value of the Harrison County gas wells.

- 17. The Tax Department admits the allegations set forth in the first two sentences of Paragraph 17 of the Complaint. The Tax Department admits that Mr. Harden testified regarding the decline rate and operating expenses for the CNX wells as alleged in the third sentence of Paragraph 17; the Tax Department denies that Mr. Harden's testimony demonstrates that the CNX gas wells have been valued incorrectly under West Virginia law. The Tax Department admits the allegations set forth in the fourth sentence of Paragraph 17 of the Complaint.
- 18. The Tax Department admits the allegations set forth in sentences 1, 2, and 4, of Paragraph 18 of the Complaint. The Tax Department admits that several exhibits were admitted into the record at the October 2016 hearing as alleged in sentence 3 of Paragraph 18; the Tax Department lacks sufficient information to form an opinion regarding the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in sentence 3; consequently, those allegations are denied.
- 19. The Tax Department admits that the Board of Assessment Appeals affirmed the Tax Department's valuation of the gas wells by an order and that CNX appealed the decision to the Circuit Court of Harrison County as alleged in Paragraph 19 of the Complaint. The Tax Department lacks sufficient information to form an opinion with regards to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 19; consequently, those allegations are denied.
- 20. Paragraph 20 of the *Complaint* summarizes the law regarding the valuation of property for *ad valorem* tax purposes. No response is required. To the extent that a response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that it failed to correctly value the Petitioner's property for tax purposes and states that legal conclusions will be determined by the Court.

- 21. Paragraph 21 of the Complaint summarizes the law and legislative rule regarding the valuation of property for ad valorem tax purposes. No response is required. To the extent that a response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that it failed to correctly value the Petitioner's property for tax purposes and states that legal conclusions will be determined by the Court.
- 22. Paragraph 22 of the *Complaint* summarizes the law and mechanical procedures regarding the valuation of property for *ad valorem* tax purposes. No response is required. To the extent that a response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that it failed to correctly value the Petitioner's property for tax purposes and states that legal conclusions will be determined by the Court.
- 23. The Tax Department denies the allegations set forth in the first sentence of Paragraph 23 of the Complaint; the Tax Department states that CNX Gas has failed to cite any statutory authority requiring the use of an individual taxpayer's actual operating expenses in calculating the value of gas wells and demands strict proof thereof. The Tax Department denies that CNX presented actual operating expenses for each well under protest and further denies that the information provided by CNX is the type of information contemplated by the legislative rule as alleged in the last sentence of Paragraph 23. The remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 23 of the Complaint summarize the law and mechanical procedures regarding the valuation of property for ad valorem tax purposes. No response is required. To the extent that a response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that it failed to correctly value the Petitioner's property for tax purposes and states that legal conclusions will be determined by the Court.
- 24. Paragraph 24 of the *Complaint* summarizes the law regarding the burden of proof for taxpayers challenging the valuation of property and the record to be reviewed in circuit court

(M0187218,1) 8

for the valuation of property for ad valorem tax purposes. No response is required. To the extent that a response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that it failed to correctly value the Petitioner's property for tax purposes and states that legal conclusions will be determined by the Court.

- 25. The Tax Department denies the allegations set forth in the first sentence of Paragraph 25 of the *Complaint*. The remainder of Paragraph 25 recites CNX's prayer for relief. No response is necessary. To the extent that a response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that any relief is warranted in this case.
- 26. The Tax Department denies that it has discretion to select the appraisal methodology for operating oil and natural gas wells as alleged in Paragraph 26 of the Complaint. According to the applicable legislative rules, the value of oil and natural gas producing properties "...shall be determined through the process of applying a yield capitalization model to the net receipts...." See W. Va. St. R. § 110-1J-4.1. The Tax Department is required to use the income approach to value for operating oil and gas wells under the legislative rule. The Tax Department further denies that it failed to correctly apply the yield capitalization model to Petitioner's property for tax purposes and states that legal conclusions will be determined by the Court.
- 27. The Tax Department denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 27 of the Complaint. The Tax Department further denies that CNX provided the actual operating expenses for each well under protest as alleged in Paragraph 27 of the Complaint.
- 28. Paragraph 28 of the *Complaint* recites the prayer for relief by CNX Gas. No response is necessary. To the extent that a response may be applicable, the Tax Department denies that any relief is warranted in this case.

(M0187118.1) 9

29. The Tax Department denies every allegation in the *Complaint* which has not been specifically admitted.

#### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NUMBER 1

30. CNX Gas has failed to cite any statutory authority authorizing or requiring the Tax Department to use the actual operating expenses for an individual taxpayer in valuing the operating oil and gas wells under protest for *ad valorem* tax purposes in a mass appraisal environment. The Tax Department demands strict proof thereof.

#### <u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NUMBER 2</u>

31. CNX Gas has failed to provide the actual operating expenses per well for the 754 gas wells under protest in Harrison County.

#### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NUMBER 3**

- 32. The applicable legislative rule states:
- 4.3. Average industry operating expenses. -- The Tax Commissioner shall every five (5) years, determine the average annual industry operating expenses per well. The average annual industry operating expenses shall be deducted from working interest gross receipts to develop an income stream for application of a yield capitalization procedure.
- W. Va. St. R. § 110-1J-4.3 (emphasis added). The Tax Department has correctly valued the operating gas well as required under the applicable legislative rules.

WHEREFORE, State Tax Commissioner and the Honorable Joseph Romano, Assessor of

Harrison County, pray the Honorable Court DISMISS the Complaint with prejudice and for such additional relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

DALE W. STEAGER, STATE TAX COMMISSIONER OF WEST VIRGINIA, and JOSEPH R. ROMANO, ASSESSOR OF HARRISON COUNTY,

By counsel

PATRICK MORRISEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

L. WAYNE WILLIAMS (WVSB# 4370)

ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL 1900 Kanawha Boulevard, East

Building 1, Room W-435

Charleston, West Virginia 25305

304-558-2522

### IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

CONSOL ENERGY INC.
DBA CNX GAS COMPANY, LLC,

Petitioner,

٧.

Civil Action No. 17-C-142 Honorable Christopher J. McCarthy

THE HONORABLE DALE W. STEAGER,
West Virginia State Tax Commissioner,
THE HONORABLE JOSEPH R. ROMANO,
Assessor of Harrison County,
THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF HARRISON COUNTY,

Respondents.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, L. Wayne Williams, Assistant Attorney General, do hereby certify that the foregoing "Answer Of West Virginia State Tax Department To Complaint" was served upon the following by depositing a copy of the same in the United States Mail, via first-class postage prepaid, this 5th day of May, 2017, addressed as follows:

Craig A. Griffith, Esq.
John J. Meadows, Esq.
Steptoe & Johnson, PLLC
P.O. Box 1588
Charleston, WV 25326-1588
Counsel for Petitioner

County Commission of Harrison County
301 W. Main Street
Clarksburg, WV 26301

L. WAYNE WILLIAMS