DO NOT REMOVE FILE COPY

BEFORE THE WEST VIRGINIA SUPREME COURT OF APPEAT

ANTERO RESOURCES CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

JUN - 2 2017

FIGHT L PEASY IL CLERK
SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS
OF WEST VIRGINIA

Circuit Court Of Harrison County Civil Action No. 17-C-98-2 JUDGE BEDELL

THE HONORABLE DALE W. STEAGER,
West Virginia State Tax Commissioner,
THE HONORABLE JOSEPH R. ROMANO,
Assessor of Harrison County, and
THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF HARRISON COUNTY,
Respondents.

OBJECTION OF
WEST VIRGINIA STATE TAX DEPARTMENT
AND THE HONORABLE JOSEPH R. ROMANO
TO ANTERO RESOURCES'
MOTION TO REFER TAX APPEAL TO BUSINESS COURT DIVISION

Respectfully Submitted By:

L. Wayne Williams (W.Va. Bar No. 4370) Assistant Attorney General 1900 Kanawha Boulevard, East Building 1, Room W-435 Charleston, West Virginia 25305 Telephone: (304) 558-2522 Email: L.Wayne.Williams@wvago.gov Counsel for the Respondent

BEFORE THE WEST VIRGINIA SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS

ANTERO RESOURCES CORPORATION, Petitioner,

v.

Circuit Court Of Harrison County Civil Action No. 17-C-98-2 JUDGE BEDELL

THE HONORABLE DALE W. STEAGER,
West Virginia State Tax Commissioner,
THE HONORABLE JOSEPH R. ROMANO,
Assessor of Harrison County, and
THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF HARRISON COUNTY,
Respondents.

OBJECTION OF
WEST VIRGINIA STATE TAX DEPARTMENT
AND THE HONORABLE JOSEPH R. ROMANO
TO ANTERO RESOURCES'
MOTION TO REFER TAX APPEAL TO BUSINESS COURT DIVISION

COME NOW Dale W. Steager, State Tax Commissioner, and the Honorable Joseph R. Romano, Assessor or Harrison County, by counsel, in order to Object to the *Motion to Refer to the Business Court Division* filed by Antero Resources Corporation in the above-referenced appeal. The State Tax Commissioner and Assessor Romano (collectively hereinafter, sometimes Tax Department or Tax Commissioner) state as follows.

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

Antero Resources protested the valuation of its mineral interests in numerous producing gas wells located in Harrison County, West Virginia, as calculated by the Property Tax Division of the State Tax Department for the 2016 TY and the 2017 TY. Antero Resources has similarly challenged the valuations in Doddridge County, Ritchie County and Tyler County, for both tax

1

{M0205873.1}

years. Civil Action No. 17-C-98-2 in the Circuit Court of Harrison County, which is the subject of the current motion before the Supreme Court, challenges the valuation for both tax years. In addition, Antero Resources has filed separate motions to refer the *ad valorem* property tax appeals in the other three counties to the Business Court Division.

The State Tax Department and Assessor Romano calculated the true and actual value of Antero's gas wells located in Harrison County for the 2016 TY at \$691 million under the legislative rule. For the 2017 TY, the Tax Department valued Antero's Harrison County gas wells at \$343 million. *See Antero's Complaint* at Paragraph 16.

The Property Tax Division conducts a survey of operating expenses as reported by the producers of oil and gas wells every five years as required by the legislative rule. The Property Tax Division calculates the "average annual industry operating expenses per well". According to the legislative rule, the "average annual industry operating expenses per well" must be deducted from the working interest gross receipts of the individual gas well in order to value the well under the Yield Capitalization Model. *See* W. Va. Code St. R. § 110-1J-4.3. The legislative rules do not authorize the Property Tax Division to utilize any substitute value based on an individual taxpayer's request in calculating the value of operating gas wells in this State for *ad valorem* property tax purposes.

Antero Resources argued that it should be allowed to use a substitute value for the expense deduction in calculating the value of its interest in the gas wells; Antero wants to deduct its allocated operating expenses to calculate the value of Antero's gas wells in Harrison County and throughout the State. Antero has objected to the use of the "average annual industry operating expenses per well" which is the value used by the Property Tax Division to value every operating gas well in this State.

{M0205873.1} 2

Based on Antero's alternative expense deduction, Antero argued that the true and actual value of its property should be \$458 million for the 2016 TY in Harrison County. *See Antero's Complaint* at Paragraphs 13 & 18. Similarly, Antero argued that the Harrison County gas wells should be valued at \$197.7 million for the 2017 TY. *See Antero's Complaint* at Paragraph 16.

In addition, Antero Resources hired Hein and Associates to appraise the true and actual value of Antero's Harrison County wells for both tax years. Hein and Associates argued that the true and actual value of Antero's property would be \$596.6 million for the 2016 TY and \$247 million for the 2017 TY. *See Antero's Complaint* at Paragraph 16.

Altus Group, a consulting company, testified at both the 2016 and 2017 tax year hearings in Harrison County regarding the value of Antero Resources' property for ad valorem tax purposes. Representatives of Altus testified that a "reasonable resolution" value would be \$587 million for the 2016 TY and \$299.6 million for the 2017 TY. Altus proffered these two values as "compromise values" for Antero's Harrison County property. *See Antero's Complaint* at Paragraph 23. Antero Resources argued that the Harrison County gas wells should be valued at the "compromise values" of \$587 million for the 2016 TY and \$299.6 million for the 2017 TY by the Circuit Court. *See Antero's Complaint* at prayer for relief.

OBJECTION 1 ANTERO RESOURCES FAILED TO TIMELY PERFECT THE UNDERLYING APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT

The Tax Department and Assessor Romano have filed a *Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Timely Perfect the Appeal* before the Honorable Judge Bedell on May 24, 2017. The basis for the motion to dismiss is that Antero Resources failed to timely perfect the appeal as required by statute. According to W. Va. Code § 11-3-25(b), the petitioner must have the record from the hearing before the County Commission of Harrison County sitting as a Board of Equalization

{M0205873.1} 3

and Review certified to the Clerk of the Circuit Court within thirty (30) days of appealing to the circuit court. Although Antero Resources filed the *Complaint* timely in the Circuit Court of Harrison County, Antero did **not** have the record from the hearing certified by the County Clerk of Harrison County. *See* attached Exhibit A.

Antero Resources proffered an original record to the Circuit Court. See Antero's Complaint. According to W. Va. Code § 58-3-4, the "original record" must include a certified copy of the order being appealed to the circuit court. The orders for the two tax years filed by Antero Resources with the Circuit Court are **not** certified copies of the orders being protested.

The failure to timely perfect the appeal from the county commission is a jurisdictional bar to prosecuting the appeal. See In re Tax Assessment Against O.V. Stonestreet, 147 W. Va. 719, 131 S.E. 2d 52 at Syll. Pt. 1 (1963); Rawl Sales & Processing Company, Inc., v. County Commission of Mingo County, 191 W. Va. 127, 443 S.E. 2d 595 (1994) at Syll. Pts. 3 & 4 (1994)(citing In re Stonestreet); and In re Tax Assessment Against Purple Turtle, LLC, 223 W. Va. 755, 679 S.E.2d 587 at Syll. Pts. 4 & 5 (2009).

The Tax Department has filed similar motions to dismiss three of Antero Resources' appeals in Tyler County for Civil Action Nos. 17-AA-1 and 17-AA-2 as well as Ritchie County for Civil Action No. 17-AA-2. The Tax Department is currently attempting to set hearing dates with Antero Resources regarding the Tax Department's motions to dismiss in Tyler County and Ritchie County. The Honorable Judge Bedell has scheduled a status conference on June 22, 2017 for the tax appeal in Harrison County. In all probability, Judge Bedell will advise the parties how to proceed regarding the Tax Department's motion to dismiss at that time.

(M0205873.1) 4

Since the Circuit Court of Harrison County does not have jurisdiction to hear the underlying tax appeal, the Supreme Court should deny the *Motion to Refer to the Business Court Division* filed by Antero Resources Corporation.

OBJECTION 2 THE CASE IS NOT A "COMPLEX TAX APPEAL"

The Trial Court Rules authorize the Supreme Court to refer a case to the Business Court Division in "complex tax appeals...." *See* Trial Court Rules at Rule 29.04(a)(3). The *ad valorem* property tax appeal filed by Antero Resources is not a complex tax appeal.

The appeal to the Circuit Court of Harrison County presents routine legal questions. While operating a Marcellus gas well may be a complex matter, the legal issues are really quite simple. Did the Tax Department properly apply the applicable legislative rule? Can an individual taxpayer demand that its allocated expenses be used to value the mineral interest in the gas well when the legislative rule mandates that the "average annual industry operating expenses per well" must be used to calculate value? Can an individual taxpayer demand that its property be valued differently from all other producing gas wells in this State for *ad valorem* property tax purposes? Can an individual taxpayer proffer two separate "true and actual" values and a "reasonable resolution" value for its property? Do the legislative rules authorize the use of a "reasonable resolution" value or a "compromise value" for ad valorem property tax purposes instead of the true and actual value as required under the law?

{M0205873,1} 5

OBJECTION 3 JUDICIAL ECONOMY WILL BE ACHIEVED WITHOUT A REFERRAL TO THE BUSINESS COURTS DIVISION

While the Tax Department has filed motions to dismiss for failure to timely perfect the appeal in four of the seven Antero Resources tax appeals, three of the tax appeals will need to be decided by the circuit courts. The Tax Department has **not** filed a motion to dismiss in the two Doddridge County cases and in Civil Action No. 17-AA-1 in Ritchie County since those three records have been certified by the county clerks. All three of these remaining circuit court cases have been assigned to the Honorable Judge Sweeney of the third judicial circuit.

Therefore, Antero's claims that a referral to the Business Court Division would achieve judicial economy are overstated. The benefits of judicial economy could be achieved much easier by simply consolidating the three remaining cases with Judge Sweeney in either Doddridge County or Ritchie County.

The cases before the four circuit courts present simple questions regarding the application of a legislative rule by a State agency. Whether a State agency has properly applied a legislative rule, is the type of question that circuit courts routinely decide. Since the underlying appeal to the Circuit Court of Harrison County in Civil Action No. 17-C-98-2 was not timely perfected, the instant *Motion to Refer to Business Court Division* does not present a complex tax matter,

(M0205873.1) 6

and judicial economy can be achieved through the circuit courts, Antero Resources' motion to refer the case to the Business Court Division should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

DALE W. STEAGER, STATE TAX COMMISSIONER OF WEST VIRGINIA, HONORABLE JOSEPH R. ROMANO, ASSESSOR OF HARRISON COUNTY

By counsel,

PATRICK MORRISEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

L. WAYNE WILLIAMS (WVSB# 4370) ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

1900 Kanawha Boulevard, East

Building 1, Room W-435

Charleston, West Virginia 25305

304-558-2522



State of West Virginia Office of the Attorney General

Tax & Revenue, Court of Claims and Transportation Division State Capitol, Building 1, Room W-435, 1900 Kanawha Boulevard East Charleston, WV 25305

Patrick Morrisey Attorney General (304) 558-2522 Fax (304) 558-2525

May 24, 2017

Honorable Albert F. Marano, Circuit Clerk Harrison County Circuit Clerk's Office 301 West Main Street Clarksburg, WV 26301

Via Facsimile & U.S. Mail

Re:

Antero Resources Corporation v. Dale Steager, State Tax Commissioner, Joseph R. Romano, Assessor of Harrison County, and County Commission of Harrison

County

Civil Action No.: 17-C-98-2

Dear Mr. Marano:

Enclosed please find the Motion Of West Virginia State Tax Department And Assessor Joseph R. Romano To Dismiss For Failure To Timely Perfect Appeal to be filed in the above-referenced matter. A copy of the same has been provided to counsel for the Petitioner and to the Defendant as evidenced in the attached certificate of service. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

L. Wayne Williams

Assistant Attorney General

LWW/dbt Enclosure

cc: Honorable Thomas A. Bedell, Judge

Craig A. Griffith, Esq. John J. Meadows, Esq.

County Commission of Harrison County

Joseph R. Romano, Assessor of Harrison County

Mark Morton, General Counsel, WV State Tax Department

EXHIBIT

A

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

ANTERO RESOURCES CORPORATION,

Petitioner.

Ý.

Civil Action No. 17-C-98-2

THE HONORABLE DALE W. STEAGER,
West Virginia State Tax Commissioner,
THE HONORABLE JOSEPH R. ROMANO,
Assessor of Harrison County, and
THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF HARRISON COUNTY,

Respondents.

MOTION OF WEST VIRGINIA STATE TAX DEPARTMENT AND ASSESSOR JOSEPH R. ROMANO TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO TIMELY PERFECT APPEAL

COME NOW Dale W. Steager, Tax Commissioner of the State of West Virginia and the Honorable Joseph R. Romano, Assessor of Harrison County, (hereinafter, collectively referred to as "Tax Commissioner" or "Tax Department"), by counsel, in order to bring this *Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Timely Perfect Appeal* and state as follows.

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

1. Antero Resources Corporation filed the instant appeal on or about March 30, 2017, in the Circuit Court of Harrison County seeking judicial review of the ad valorem property tax assessments for the 2016 and 2017 tax years,

- 2. Antero Resources had protested the valuation of its property interest in gas wells before the Harrison County Commission sitting as a Board of Assessment Appeals in October 2016 for the 2016 TY. See Complaint at Paragraphs 7 & 24.
- 3. For the 2017 TY, Antero Resources protested the valuation of its property interest in gas wells before the Harrison County Commission sitting as a Board of Equalization and Review in February 2017. See Complaint at Paragraphs 7 & 26.
- 4. The jurisdictional basis cited in the *Complaint* by Antero Resources for the appeal to Circuit Court is W. Va. Code § 11-3-25. *See Complaint* at Paragraphs 25 & 27. Any taxpayer may seek judicial review of a decision of the Board of Assessment Appeals under the same statutory provision. *See* W. Va. Code § 11-3-24b(g).
 - 5. The applicable statutory provision states in pertinent part:
 - (b) The right of appeal from any assessment by the **Board of Equalization and Review** or order of the **Board of Assessment Appeals** as provided in this section may be taken either by the applicant or by the state, and in case the applicant, by his or her attorney, or in the case of the state, by its prosecuting attorney or other attorney representing the Tax Commissioner. The party desiring to take an appeal from the decision of either board shall have the evidence taken at the hearing of the application before either board, including a transcript of all testimony and all papers, motions, documents, evidence and records as were before the board, certified by the county clerk and transmitted to the circuit court as provided in section four, article three, chapter fifty-eight of this code, except that, any other provision of this code notwithstanding, the evidence shall be certified and transmitted within thirty days after the petition for appeal is filed with the court or judge, in vacation.

W. Va. Code § 11-3-25(b) (emphasis added).

6. The appeal provisions of the statute expressly reference W. Va. Code § 58-3-4 (emphasis added) which states:

In any case in which an appeal lies under section one of this article on behalf of a party to a controversy in a county court, such party may present to the circuit court of the county in which the judgment, order or proceeding complained of was rendered, made or had, or in the vacation of such court, to the judge of such court,

the petition of such party for an appeal. Such petition shall be presented within four months after such judgment, order or proceeding was rendered, had or made, and shall assign errors. It shall be accompanied by the original record of the proceeding in lieu of a transcript thereof. Such original record shall be understood as including all papers filed in the proceeding, certified copies of all orders entered in the proceeding, copies of which are not in the files, and all matters included in bills of exceptions, or certificates in lieu thereof, as provided in section three of this article. The record may likewise include and the court may consider an agreed statement of facts, and, in case the testimony in the proceeding below was not stenographically reported and preserved, a certificate of facts made by such commissioners, or a majority of them.

- 7. Therefore, in order to perfect the appeal to the Circuit Court, Antero Resources was required to have the a transcript of all testimony and all papers, motions, documents, evidence and records as were before the board, certified by the county clerk and transmitted to the circuit court within 30 days of filing the appeal in the Circuit Court. See W. Va. Code § 11-3-25(b).
- 8. In addition, W. Va. Code § 58-3-4 expressly requires that the original record must include certified copies of all orders entered by the Board of Equalization and Review if the orders are not included in the record certified by the County Clerk in this matter.

FACTS SUPPORTING DISMISSAL

- 9. Petitioner Antero Resources filed the instant appeal with the Circuit Court of Harrison County on or about March 30, 2017.
 - 10. Thirty days from March 30, 2017, would be April 30, 2017.
- 11. Counsel for the Tax Department has personally reviewed the record filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court by Petitioner Antero Resources on May 19, 2017. Based upon that review, the record filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court has <u>not</u> been certified from the County Clerk of Harrison County as required by W. Va. Code § 11-3-25(b).

- 12. Furthermore, while the record on file with the Clerk of the Circuit Court includes a photocopy of the order issued by the Board of Assessment Appeals dated March 15, 2017, for the 2016 TY, the order filed with the Clerk is <u>not</u> a certified copy of the order as required by W. Va. Code § 58-3-4.
- 13. Similarly, the order from the Board of Equalization and Review dated March 3, 2017, for the 2017 TY is <u>not</u> a certified copy of the order as required by W. Va. Code § 58-3-4.
- 14. In addition, the docket sheet for Civil Action No. 17-C-98-2 does not include any indication that the County Clerk for Harrison County has certified the record from the Board of Assessment Appeals or the Board of Equalization and Review to the Circuit Court of Harrison County as required. See attached Exhibit 1.
- 15. Antero Resources has appealed the ad valorem property tax assessments for the tax years 2016 and 2017 in four different counties. In the appeal in Civil Action No. 17-AA-1 before the Circuit Court of Ritchie County, by letter dated January 31, 2017, Antero requested that the County Clerk certify the record to the Clerk of the Circuit Court for Ritchie County. See attached Exhibit 2.
- 16. Counsel represents to the Court that the record filed in Harrison County in Civil Action No. 17-C-98-2 does not appear to include any similar request by Antero Resources.
- Antero Resources has failed to timely file the record as certified by the County Clerk and has failed to file a certified copy of the decisions of the County Commission for Harrison County sitting as a Board of Assessment Appeals and a Board of Equalization and Review as required by law.

ARGUMENT

Under West Virginia law, the requirements to perfect the appeal are jurisdictional and must be strictly construed. The procedures to appeal a decision of the Board of Equalization and Review were settled long ago. In 1963 the West Virginia Supreme Court determined that the certified record from the county court, now the county commission, must be timely filed with the Circuit Court in order to perfect the appeal.

The provisions of Section 25, Article 3, Chapter 11, Code, 1931, as amended. governing appeals from the county court to the circuit court of the county from an assessment made by the county court, in which there was a hearing and an appearance by the property owner, and requiring that the application for an appeal be represented in the circuit court within thirty days from the adjournment of the county court by which the order complained of was rendered, and the provisions of Section 4, Article 3, Chapter 58, Code, 1931, requiring that the petition be accompanied by the original record of the proceeding in the county court in lieu of a transcript of such proceeding, are mandatory and will be read and considered together; and when it appears upon review in this Court that the petition, though presented within the thirty day period, was not accompanied by the original record of the proceeding in the county court and that no record of such proceeding was filed in the circuit court within the limitation of thirty days prescribed by Section 25 of the statute, the appeal applied for must be refused by the circuit court and the writ of error awarded by this Court to the judgment of the circuit court refusing such appeal will be dismissed.

In re Tax Assessment Against O.V. Stonestreet, 147 W. Va. 719, 131 S.E. 2d 52 at Syll. Pt. 1 (emphasis added). Stonestreet is particularly instructive for the appeal from Antero Resources. The Supreme Court observed in Stonestreet that W. Va. Code §§ 11-3-25 and 58-3-4 must be read in pari materia. In Stonestreet, the Petitioners argued that the certified record was not necessary until the Circuit Court had set a date for a hearing the merits of the appeal. See Stonestreet at 722, 54. However, the Supreme Court rejected this argument and reaffirmed the requirement that the original record included certified copies of all orders from the county court and must be filed timely. See Stonestreet at 725, 56. More recently, the Supreme Court reaffirmed the decision from Stonestreet in the case of Rawl Sales & Processing Company, Inc.,

v. County Commission of Mingo County, 191 W. Va. 127, 443 S.E. 2d 595 (1994) at Syll. Pts. 3 & 4 (1994)(citing In re Stonestreet). The Supreme Court specifically stated that the procedures to appeal as set forth in W. Va. Code §§ 11-3-25 and 58-3-4 are mandatory jurisdictional requirements. See Rawl Sales at 131, 599.

As recently as 2009, the Supreme Court affirmed once again that the certified record must be timely filed in order to perfect the appeal. In the case In re Tax Assessment Against Purple Turtle, LLC., 223 W. Va. 755, 679 S.E.2d 587 at Syll. Pts. 4 & 5 (2009), the Supreme Court noted the importance of providing the certified record to the Circuit Court. Furthermore, the Court stated that the failure to timely perfect the appeal does not necessarily prejudice the assessor; the failure to timely perfect the appeal means that the reviewing court does not have access to the record. Admittedly, in Purple Turtle, the Taxpayer failed to file the record from the Board of Equalization and Review. See Purple Turtle at 759, 591. However, in the Antero case before the Circuit Court of Harrison County, the voluminous record filed by Antero is deficient as noted above. First, the record filed by Antero is not certified by the County Clerk to the Clerk of the Circuit Court as specifically required pursuant to W. Va. Code § 11-3-25. Second, the copy of the order from the County Commission sitting as a Board of Equalization and Review is not certified as required pursuant to W. Va. Code § 58-3-4. Therefore, the purported original record filed by Antero in this case is deficient and does not comply with the clear statutory language.

In the decision in *Purple Turtle*, the Supreme Court noted that the filing requirements to appeal the decisions of the boards of equalization and review are absolutely clear.

The Assessor asserts that the statutory framework for the appeal and review of decisions of the Board is absolutely clear. Indeed, as this Court stated in *Helton v. Reed*, 219 W.Va. 557, 638 S.E.2d 160 (2006), "filing requirements established by statute ... are not readily susceptible to equitable modification or tempering." 219

6

W.Va. at 561, 638 S.E.2d at 164; see also Concept Mining, Inc. v. Helton, 217 W.Va. 298, 617 S.E.2d 845 (2005) (Tax Commissioner's intent was irrelevant and procedural error prohibited consideration of Commissioner's appeal); Solution One Mortg., LLC v. Helton, 216 W.Va. 740, 613 S.E.2d 601 (2005) (tax statutes requiring bond as prerequisite to prosecution of appeal are strictly construed); State ex rel. Clark v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of W. Va., Inc., 195 W.Va. 537, 466 S.E.2d 388 (1995) (strict deadlines in insurance insolvency cases); Bradley v. Williams, 195 W.Va. 180, 465 S.E.2d 180 (1995) (taxpayer's failure to abide by express procedures established for challenging decision of Tax Commissioner precludes taxpayer's claim for refund or credit).

Purple Turtle at 761-762, 593-594. Based upon the critical importance of perfecting the appeal from the Boards of Equalization and Review, the Supreme Court refused to deviate from the appeal methodology set forth in Rawl Sales and In re Stonestreet. See Purple Turtle at 762, 594.

The methodology to appeal a decision from the Board of Assessment Appeals to the Circuit Court is clearly established by statutes. The West Virginia Supreme Court has long ago determined that express statutory procedures to appeal are mandatory and constitute a jurisdictional requirement. The failure to timely perfect an appeal creates a jurisdictional bar and prevents the Circuit Court from acquiring jurisdiction in the first place.

WHEREFORE, Dale W. Steager, State Tax Commissioner of the State of West Virginia

and the Honorable Joseph R. Romano, Assessor of Harrison County, pray the Honorable Court to DISMISS the instant appeal with prejudice.

Respectfully submitted,

DALE W. STEAGER, STATE TAX COMMISSIONER OF WEST VIRGINIA, HONORABLE JOSEPH R. ROMANO, ASSESSOR OF HARRISON COUNTY

By counsel,

PATRICK MORRISEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

L. WAYNE WILLIAMS (WVSB# 4370) ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

1900 Kanawha Boulevard, East

Building 1, Room W-435

Charleston, West Virginia 25305

304-558-2522

JUDGE. . . JUDGE THOMAS A. BEDELL

PLAINTIFF. ANTERO RESOURCES CORPORATION THE HONORABLE DALE STEAGER VS DEFENDANT.

PRO ATTY... JOHN J MEADOWS DEF ATTY.. L WAYNE WILLIAMS

PAGE# DATE MEMORANDUM.....

00001	3/24/17	Receipt, CCIS, Complaint w/Exhibits, Summons issued thru
00002		SHC upon J. Romano and Harrison County Commission, Summons
00003		given back to runner for service upon Hon D. Steager. rlh
00004	3/27/17	Summons served 3/24/17 to Joseph Romano in person /s/ Deanna
00005	3/27/17	Hoskinson, DSHC. td
00006	3/27/17	Summons served 3/24/17 to Ron Watson for The Harrison County
00007	3/27/17	Commission /s/ Deanna Hoskinson, DSHC td
00008	4/06/17	Notice of appearance on behalf of the Honorable Dale W
00009	4/06/17	Steager filed by L Wayne Williams. cert of ser cas
00010		Summons/complaint ser'd 3/30/17 for Dale Steager /s/ Brian
00011	4/12/17	Phillips Process Server cas
00012	4/12/17	SUMMONS RETURNED
00013	4/12/17	Amended notice of appearance filed by L Wayne Williams. cert
00014		of ser. FAX cas
00015	4/12/17	Notice of bona fide defense filed by L Wayne Williams. cert
00016		of ser. FAX cas
00017		Amended notice of appearance & Notice of bona fide defense
00018		filed by L Wayne Williams. certs of ser cas
00019	4/19/17	CCIS / Answer of WV State Tax Department & Honorable
00020	4/19/17	Assessor Joseph Romano to Complaint filed by L. Waye
00021	, ,	Williams. Cert of Ser. FAX td
00022		Answer of the County Commission of Harrison County sitting
00023		as a Board of Assessment Appeals filed by Stephen Sluss.cert
00024		of ser.
00025		Copy of the Motion to refer case to the Business Court Div
00026		w/attchmts filed with WV Supreme Court of Appeals by John
00027	5/17/17	Meadows

State of West Virginia
County of Harrison, Circuit and Family Court
I, Plert F. Mann, Clerk of said county and in said state,
do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy from the
records of said court given under my hand and seal this

Deputy Clerk





Chase Tower, Eighth Floor
P.O. Box 1588
Charleston, WV 25326-1588
(304) 353-8000 (304) 353-8180 Fax
www.steptoe-johnson.com

Writer's Contact Information
(304) 353-8154
John.meadows@steptoe-johnson.com

January 31, 2017

Tracie D. McDonald, Clerk Ritchie County Court 115 East Main Street, Room 201 Harrisville, WV 26326

Re: Antero Resources Corporation v. The Hon. Mark Matkovich, et al. Ritchie County Circuit Court Civil Action No.: 17-AA-1

Dear Clerk McDonald:

Pursuant to W.Va. Code § 11-3-25(b), I hereby request that you certify the attached record of a hearing before the Ritchie County Board of Assessment Appeals and transmit the same to the circuit court for inclusion in the above-referenced appeal.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Should you have any questions, I may be reached at (304) 353-8154.

Very truly yours,

John J. Meadows

JJM/sec

Enclosures

012770,00001

Carter Court



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA ANTERO RESOURCES CORPORATION,

Petitioner.

V.

Civil Action No. 17-C-98-2 Honorable Thomas A. Bedell

THE HONORABLE DALE STEAGER,
West Virginia State Tax Commissioner,
THE HONORABLE JOSEPH R. ROMANO,
Assessor of Harrison County, and
THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF HARRISON COUNTY,
Sitting as a Board of Assessment Appeals and as a
Board of Equalization and Review,

Respondents.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, L. Wayne Williams, Assistant Attorney General, do hereby certify that the foregoing Motion Of West Virginia State Tax Department And Assessor Joseph R. Romano To Dismiss For Failure To Timely Perfect Appeal was served upon the following by depositing a copy of the same in the United States Mail, via first-class postage prepaid, this 24th day of April, 2017, addressed as follows:

Craig A. Griffith, Esq. John J. Meadows, Esq. Steptoe & Johnson, PLLC P.O. Box 1588 Charleston, WV 25326-1588 Counsel for Petitioner

County Commission of Harrison County 301 West Main Street Clarksburg, WV 26301

L.WAYNE WILLIAMS



STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE CAPITOL BUILDING 1, ROOM W-435 CHARLESTON 25305

PATRICK MORRISEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

(304) 558-2522 FAX (304) 558-2525

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

DATE:

May 24, 2017

NUMBER OF PAGES (Including cover sheet): 13

RECIPIENT

NAME:

Albert F. Marano, Harrison County Circuit Clerk

FAX NO:

304-624-8710

SENDER

NAME:

Delea B. Thomas on behalf of L. Wayne Williams, Esq.

DIVISION:

Tax

DESCRIPTION OF FACSIMILE MESSAGE:

IMPORTANT

The information contained in this facsimile transmission is only for the use of the individuals or entity named above, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential or exempt or protected from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this transmission is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone or return the original message to us at the address above via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

TRANSMISSION VERIFICATION REPORT

TIME : 05/24/2017 15:38 NAME : FAX : TEL : SER.#: U63274K6J350772 05/24/2017 15:36

DATE, TIME FAX NO /NAME DURATION MODE

05/24 15:32 913046248710 00.03:50 13 OK STANDARD ECM



STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE CAPITOL **BUILDING 1, ROOM W-435 CHARLESTON 25305**

PATRICK MORRISEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

(304) 558-2522 FAX (304) 558-2525

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

DATE:

May 24, 2017

NUMBER OF PAGES (Including cover sheet): 13

RECIPIENT

NAME:

Albert F. Marano, Harrison County Circuit Clerk

FAX NO:

304-624-8710

SENDER

NAME:

Delea B. Thomas on behalf of L. Wayne Williams, Esq.

DIVISION: Tax

BEFORE THE WEST VIRGINIA SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS

ANTERO RESOURCES CORPORATION,

Petitioner,

v.

Civil Action No. 17-C-98-2 Honorable Thomas A. Bedell

THE HONORABLE DALE STEAGER,
West Virginia State Tax Commissioner,
THE HONORABLE JOSEPH R. ROMANO,
Assessor of Harrison County, and
THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF HARRISON COUNTY,
Sitting as a Board of Assessment Appeals and as a
Board of Equalization and Review,

Respondents.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, L. Wayne Williams, Assistant Attorney General, do hereby certify that the foregoing Objection Of West Virginia State Tax Department And The Honorable Joseph R. Romano To Antero Resources' Motion To Refer Tax Appeal To Business Court Division was served upon the following by depositing a copy of the same in the United States Mail, via first-class postage prepaid, this 2nd day of June, 2017, addressed as follows:

Craig A. Griffith, Esq. John J. Meadows, Esq. Steptoe & Johnson, PLLC P.O. Box 1588 Charleston, WV 25326-1588 Counsel for Petitioner

Honorable Thomas A. Bedell, Judge Harrison County Courthouse 301 West Main Street Clarksburg, WV 26301

Albert F. Marano, Circuit Clerk Harrison County Circuit Clerk's Office 301 West Main Street Clarksburg, WV 26301 County Commission of Harrison County 301 West Main Street Clarksburg, WV 26301

The General Office of the Business Court Division Berkeley County Judicial Center 380 W South Street, Suite 2100 Martinsburg, WV 25401

L. WAYNE WILLIAMS