SCANNED

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HARD ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY, as subrogee of TURNER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY,	RISON COUNTY, WEST V			L 12	国 015	Constant de la consta
TURNER CORPORATION, and TOMPKINS BUILDERS, INC., foreign corporations,	Civil Action No.: 14-C-	391-2	BORY L. SUPREME C OF W	PERBY II, C COURT OF A CCT VIRIGIN	LENK PPEALS UA	And the second
Plaintiff,) vs.)	Judge Bedell					
THRASHER ENGINEERING, INC. d/b/a THRASHER ENGINEERING, a West Virginia Corporation,			Control of the contro			
and) MASCARO CONSTRUCTION COMPANY. LP, a foreign entity,						
a toreign entity,			- n 			

Defendants.

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

- 1. Plaintiff Zurich American Insurance Company is a New York Corporation engaged in the insurance business with a statutory home office located at One Liberty Plaza, 165 Broadway, 53rd Floor, New York, New York 10006, and a main administrative office or principal place of business located at 1400 American Lane, Schaumburg, Illinois 60196. It is authorized to transact business and has transacted business in the state of West Virginia.
- Defendant Thrasher Engineering Inc. d/b/a Thrasher Engineering is West Virginia Corporation with its principal place of business located at 600 White Oaks Boulevard, Bridgeport, West Virginia 26330.

- 3. Defendant Mascaro Construction Company, LP is a Limited Partnership formed pursuant to the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with its principal place of business located at 1720 Metropolitan Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15233.
- 4. Turner Construction Company is a New York corporation with its principal place of business located at 375 Hudson Street, New York, NY 10014.
- 5. Turner Corporation is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 375 Hudson Street, New York, NY, 10014.
- 6. Tompkins Builders Inc. is a Washington DC Corporation with its principal place of business located at 2220 25th Place N.E. Washington, DC 20018.
- 7. Turner Construction Company, Turner Corporation and Tompkins Builders Inc. are all insured by Zurich American Insurance Company under a master builder's risk policy relative to the construction of phase two of the Biometric Technology Center and Central Utilities Plant Expansion at the FBI CJIIS Division Complex (the project) in Clarksburg, West Virginia.
- 8. Turner Construction Company was the general contractor for phase two of the project.
- 9. Turner Construction Company entered into a contract with Thrasher Engineering, Inc. through which Thrasher Engineering was to provide all services required for the soils inspections including but not limited to building area subgrades, structural fill, utility trenches, and shallow foundation subgrades, to ensure and verify that fill material used on the site was in accord with the project plans and specifications. Further, Thrasher was to perform various tests to ensure the soils/fill were being compacted properly and to the specifications set by the project architect and/or engineer.

- 10. Mascaro Construction Company, LP was, upon information and belief, the general contractor for phase one of the project, which included the construction of a parking garage and the placement of fill in and around the garage, upon which portions of phase two were constructed, including four or more retaining walls known as the plaza area walls.
- 11. It is further believed, and therefore averred that Thrasher Engineering Inc. was retained by Mascaro Construction Company, LP to provide soil and other inspection services for phase one of the project. Further, Thrasher was to perform various tests to ensure the soils/fill were being compacted properly and to the specifications set by the project architect and/or engineer.
- 12. Fill used on the site was not in accord with the plans and specifications as set by the project architect and/or engineer and should not have been used. Furthermore, the fill used on the site was not compacted properly and to the specifications set by the project architect and/or engineer.
- 13. The use of inappropriate fill and/or the failure to properly compact the fill has resulted in movement, shifting, cracking, settlement, and damage to certain structures constructed on site as part of phase two, including the Plaza Walls, Wall 7, and slab on grade inside of building number 9.
- 14. Turner Construction has made claim to Zurich for damage to the aforementioned structures pursuant to Zurich's builder's risk coverage for the project.
- 15. Zurich has and is in the process of adjusting the claim, and has to date made payments as result of the damages to the above referenced structures in excess of \$1.2 million dollars, and may make additional payments in the future under the policy as a result of

the damages at issue for repair costs, expediting expense, contractor's extra expense, and other coverages.

16. Pursuant to the foregoing, Zurich American Insurance Company is legally, equitably and contractually subrogated to the rights of Turner Construction Company, Turner Construction and Thompkins Builders, Inc. relative to the damages at issue.

COUNT I – NEGLIGENCE (THRASHER)

- 17. Plaintiff asserts, as if fully restated here, its allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-16 of the Complaint.
- 18. Defendant Thrasher approved fill for use it knew or should have known was not in accord with the plans and specifications as set by the project architect and/or engineer.
- 19. Defendant Thrasher knew or should have known that the fill was not suitable for the work being performed.
- 20. Defendant Thrasher knew or should have known that the use of the fill at issue would cause earth movement, shifting, cracking, settlement, drainage problems, and damage to other structures and property on site.
- 21. Defendant Thrasher knew or should have known that the fill was not compacted properly and to the specifications set by the project architect and/or engineer.
- 22. Defendant Thrasher knew or should have known that the failure to properly compact the fill would cause earth movement, shifting, cracking, settlement, drainage problems, and damage to other structures and property on site.
 - 23. In spite of the above, Thrasher approved the fill for use at the site.
 - 24. The fill was subsequently used at the site.

25. As a result of the actions/omissions of Thrasher, Plaintiff has suffered damages in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.

COUNT II - NEGLIGENT HIRE, RETENTION AND SUPERVISION (THRASHER)

- 26. Plaintiff asserts, as if fully restated here, its allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-25 of the Complaint.
- 27. Thrasher hired new employees to conduct testing upon the fill in accord with the policies, practices and procedures Thrasher had created to verify that the fill being used was in compliance with the plans and specifications as set by the project architect and/or engineer, and that the fill was suitable for use on the project, and that the fill was being properly compacted.
- 28. Thrasher assigned its current employees to conduct testing upon the fill in accord with the policies, practices and procedures Thrasher had created to verify that the fill being used was in in compliance with the plans and specifications as set by the project architect and/or engineer, and that the fill was suitable for use on the project, and that the fill was being properly compacted.
- 29. The employees Thrasher used were not qualified or competent to conduct testing upon the fill in accord with its policies, practices and procedures.
- 30. Thrasher failed to properly train its employees to conduct testing upon the fill in accord with its policies, practices and procedures.
- 31. Thrasher failed to properly supervise its employees to verify that they were properly conducting the testing upon the fill in accord with its policies, practices and procedures.

- 32. As a result of the above, Thrasher's employees erroneously told Plaintiff and others that the fill was in compliance with the plans and specifications as set by the project architect and/or engineer, and that the fill was suitable for use on the project, and that the fill had been properly compacted.
- 33. As a result, the fill was used which resulted in earth movement, shifting, cracking, settlement, drainage problems, and damage to other structures and property on site.
- 34. As a result, Plaintiff has suffered damages in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.

COUNT III – BREACH OF CONTRACT (THRASHER)

- 35. Plaintiff asserts, as if fully restated here, its allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-34 of the Complaint.
- 36. Plaintiff's subrogor, Turner Construction, Inc. contracted with or was in privity of contract with Thrasher.
- 37. Pursuant to the terms of the contract, Thrasher was to conduct testing to verify that fill provided to the construction site was in compliance with the plans and specifications as set by the project architect and/or engineer, and that the fill was suitable for use on the specific project and/or task, and that the fill was being properly compacted.
- 38. Thrasher breached the contract by approving fill that was not in compliance with the plans and specifications as set by the project architect and/or engineer and was not suitable for use on the specific project and/or task and had not been properly compacted.

COUNT IV – BREACH OF WARRANTY (THRASHER)

39. Plaintiff asserts, as if fully restated here, its allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-38 of the Complaint.

- 40. In approving fill for use on the specific project and/or task, Thrasher was making a warranty that the fill was fit for a particular purpose and that the fill was in compliance with the plans and specifications as set by the project architect and/or engineer, and that the fill was suitable for use on the specific project and/or task.
- 41. In approving the compaction of the fill, Thrasher was making a warranty that the fill had in fact been compacted such that it was fit for a particular purpose and that the fill compaction was in compliance with the plans and specifications as set by the project architect and/or engineer, and that the fill was suitable for use on the specific project and/or task.
- 42. Ultimately, the fill was not in compliance with the plans and specifications as set by the project architect and/or engineer and was not suitable for use on the specific project and/or task and had not been properly compacted and thus, Thrasher breached its warranties.
- 43. As a result, Plaintiff has suffered damages in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.

COUNT V - NEGLIGENCE (MASCARO)

- 44. Plaintiff asserts, as if fully restated here, its allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-43 of the Complaint.
- 45. Defendant Mascaro used fill it knew or should have known was not in accord with the plans and specifications as set by the project architect and/or engineer.
- 46. Defendant Mascaro knew or should have known that the fill it used was not suitable for the work being performed.
- 47. Defendant Mascaro knew or should have known that the use of the fill at issue would could drainage problems, earth slippage, and damage to other structures and property on site.

- 48. In spite of the above, Mascaro used the fill.
- 49. As a result of the Mascaro's actions, Plaintiff has suffered damages in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.

COUNT VI - CONTRACTUAL INDEMNIFICATION (THRASHER)

- 50. Plaintiff asserts, as if fully restated here, its allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-49 of the Complaint.
- 51. Pursuant to Article 8, entitled "Indemnification," of the contract between Turner Construction Company and Thrasher, Thrasher agreed that it would hold harmless Turner Corporation, Turner Construction Company, and Tompkins Builders, Inc. from "all claims, losses, expenses and damages, including but not limited to attorney's fees, arising out of or resulting from the performance of its Services."
- 52. Zurich American Insurance Company has been forced to issue payments, and may be required to issue additional payments in the future, on behalf of Turner Corporation, Turner Construction Company, and/or Tompkins Builders Inc. as a result of Thrasher's acts and omissions as set forth above.
- 53. Zurich American Insurance Company, as subrogee of Turner Corporation, Turner Construction Company, and Tompkins Builders Inc., is entitled to indemnification and reimbursement of all of its payments, future payments, and attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Article 8 of the contract.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests a trial by jury and that this Court enter judgment in its favor, that it award Plaintiff compensatory damages including both economic and non-economic, consequential and inconsequential damages, punitive damages, and all other forms of damages and other relief which this Court finds equitable and just.

Respectfully Submitted,

Bradley K. Shafer (WV 7794) SWARTZ CAMPBELL LLC 1233 Main Street, Suite 1000 Wheeling, WV 26003 P: (304) 232-2790 F:(304) 2322659

bshafer@swartzcampbell.com Counsel for Plaintiff