

May 28, 2020

Edythe Nash Gaiser, Clerk of Court State Capitol Room E-317 1900 Kanawha Boulevard, East Charleston, WV 25305

Dear Clerk Gaiser::

Subject:

James K. Abcouwer v. Trans Energy, Inc.

Civil Action No. 12-C-46, and;

James K. Abcouwer v. EQT Corporation, William F. Woodburn and Loren E.

Bagley

Civil Action No. 13-C-56

Enclosed please find "Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to Defendants' Renewed Motion to Refer Cases to the Business Court Division" for filing in the above-subject civil action. Copies of the same have this day been mailed to counsel of record and forwarded to the Judge of record.

Thank you for your cooperation and assistance in this matter.

Very truly yours,

Kimberly K. Dotson

KKD:hv

Enclosure

cc:

Honorable Carrie L. Webster

Honorable Charles E. King

Cathy Gatson, Clerk

Berkeley County Judicial Center

Michael B. Hissam/Andrew C. Robey/Kayla S. Reynolds

Scott P. Drake

F:\5\887\2 - Webster case\C103.docx

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF WEST

JAMES K. ABCOUWER, Plaintiff

v.

MAY 2 8 2020

EDYTHE NASH GAISER, CLERK SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF WEST VIRGINIA

Circuit Court of Kanawha County Civil Action No. 12-C-416 Honorable Judge Charles E. King

TRANS ENERGY, INC.,
Defendant

JAMES K. ABCOUWER,
Plaintiff

V.

Circuit Court of Kanawha County Civil Action No. 13-C-56 Honorable Carrie L. Webster

EQT CORPORATION, WILLIAM F. WOODBURN, and LOREN E. BAGLEY,

Defendants

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' RENEWED MOTION TO REFER CASES TO THE BUSINESS COURT DIVISION

Contrary to Defendants' assertion, the parties did not jointly seek referral of both cases to the Business Court Division in the past. While the parties did jointly move to refer the case before Judge King (the "first case") - the motion denied by on April 13, 2018 - the plaintiff, through counsel, *objected* to the Defendants' motion to refer the case before Judge Webster (the "second case"). That motion was denied on April 30, 2018. Denial of the motions was based upon a finding that neither case required specialized treatment to improve the expectation of a fair and reasonable resolution, and, therefore, neither met the criteria for referral under Rule 29.04(a)(2) of the West Virginia Trial Court Rules. The validity of that finding is not changed by the judicial emergency created by COVID-19. If it were, all

pending civil litigation that could conceivably fall under the definition of business litigation would be referred to the Business Court Division. Neither is if affected by the fact that the cases are pending before different judges nor that they remain pending several years after filing. Referral to the Business Court Division was not intended as a method for achieving the consolidation of separate and distinct litigation or for "speeding" the resolution of litigation already postured, and scheduled, for trial.

These cases simply to not, as Defendants argue, "fit squarely within the parameters of "business litigation." The Business Court Division was created to provide efficient and specialized treatment to litigation involving "commercial issues and disputes between businesses," due to a recognition by the West Virginia Legislature of the "complex nature of litigation involving highly technical commercial issues." W. Va. Code §51-2-15(a). Neither of these matters are the type anticipated for referral to the Business Court Division.

First, neither case involves matters of significance to the "transactions, operations, or governance between business entities." *See* W. Va. T.C.R. 29.04(a)(1). Both are cases brought by an individual, Mr. Abcouwer, not a business entity. And the second case, while brought against Trans Energy², is also brought against two individuals, Mr. Bagley and Mr. Woodburn, former officers and board members of Trans Energy. The first case involves the breach of a stock option agreement which was given as part of the compensation Mr. Abcouwer was entitled to for his services to the company. The second case involves the negotiations, and resulting agreements, between Mr. Abcouwer, Mr. Bagley, and Mr. Woodburn that led to Mr. Abcouwer's employment by Trans Energy as President and CEO.

² Now EQT Corporation.

¹ It is important to note that the Defendants filed a motion to consolidate in the first case in March 2013 but withdrew that motion in May 2013. See Ex. A, Renewed Motion to Refer Cases to the Business Court Division, at p.3.

Second, neither case presents any "commercial issues" requiring specialized treatment or a need for "specialized knowledge or expertise." See W. Va. T.C.R. 29.04(a)(2). The issues presented in these cases do not require a deep understanding of corporate governance, the oil and gas industry, or stock market valuations and market assumptions. They involve nothing more than the facts and circumstances surrounding the negotiation of Mr. Abcouwer's employment with Trans Energy, the terms under which he was employed, and the preparation and effect of various written agreements setting forth those terms and the terms of various components of Mr. Abcouwer's compensation. Defendants themselves, in their summary judgment memorandum, describe the first case as revolving around "one simple question" - the meaning of the termination clause in a stock option agreement. Judge King found the clause ambiguous therefore requiring a jury to consider the facts and circumstances surrounding the preparation of the agreement and similar agreements entered into between the company and its employees as part of their compensation packages. The second case turns on the facts and circumstances surrounding the negotiation of Mr. Abcouwer's employment with Trans Energy and whether Mr. Bagley and Mr. Woodburn intentionally misled Mr. Abcouwer to secure his agreement to work for the company. Defendants' attempt to pin referral on the complexities of 'varying market assumptions' and their impact on the calculation of damages is also without merit. Both sides have retained expert witnesses who will be readily able to assist the jury in valuing the damages at issue – a valuation no more difficult than in any other civil case.

Moreover, not only do these cases not involve matters of significance to the transactions, operations or governance between business entities or present any complex commercial issues requiring special treatment, they are, at their core, "employee suits" of the

type intended to be exempted from reference to the Business Court Division by Rule 29.04(c) of the West Virginia Trial Court Rules. In a nutshell, these are cases brought by Mr. Abcouwer to enforce the terms of compensation agreed to in exchange for his work for Trans Energy.

Finally, these matters have been pending in circuit court since 2012 and 2013, respectively. Discovery has long been completed in both cases, except for one, or potentially two, out of state depositions which have been delayed by concerns over COVID-19. Mediation has been attempted in both cases numerous times. The first case was already tried once, and pretrial motions and other filings were already prepared in anticipation of a previous trial date in the second case. In fact, the first case is scheduled to begin trial, for the second time, on August 3, 2020 (see Ex. A) and the second case is set for trial on October 26, 2020 (see Ex. B). While Defendants' motion may have been filed after time to answer the complaint expired as required by Rule 29, that does not make it timely. Surely, there can be no benefit in referring cases to the Business Court Division after all the pre-trial litigation has been completed. A transfer of cases fully ready for trial is nonsensical. Such a transfer would require that newly appointed judges familiarize themselves with cases that Judge King and Judge Webster are already intimately familiar with – a waste of judicial time and resources.

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff respectfully opposes referral to the Business Court and asks this Court to deny Defendants' renewed motion requesting the same.

JAMES K. ABCOUWER

By Counsel

Marvin W. Masters

West Virginia State Bar No. 2359

Kimberly K. Dotson

West Virginia State Bar No. 9093

The Masters Law Firm lc

181 Summers Street

Charleston, West Virginia 25301

304-342-3106

Counsel for Plaintiffs

Z:\5\887\2 - Webster case\b002.docx

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Kimberly G. Dotson, counsel for plaintiff, do hereby certify that true and exact copies of the foregoing "Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to Defendants' Renewed Motion to Refer Cases to the Business Court Division" were served upon:

Judge Carrie L. Webster Kanawha County Judicial Building P.O. Box 2351 111 Court Street Charleston, WV 25301

Judge Charles E. King Kanawha County Judicial Building P.O. Box 2351 111 Court Street Charleston, WV 25301

Clerk Cathy S. Gatson Kanawha County Judicial Building P.O. Box 2351 111 Court Street Charleston, WV 25301

Berkeley County Judicial Center Business Court Division, Suite 2100 380 W. South Street Martinsburg, WV 25401

Michael B. Hissam Andrew C. Robey Kayla S. Reynolds Hissam Forman Donovan Ritchie PLLC Post Office Box 3983 Charleston, West Virginia 25339 Counsel or Defendants

Rebecca O. Powell Scott P. Drake Norton Rose Fulbright LLP 2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 2800 Dallas, Texas 75201-2784 Pro Hac Vice Counsel for Defendant in envelopes properly addressed, stamped and deposited in the regular course of the United States

Mail this 28th day of May, 2020.

Kimberly G. Dotson

West Virginia State Bar No. 9093

F:\5\887\2 - Webster case\x36.docx

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF KANAWHA COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

SCHEDULING ORDER - CIVIL ACTION

FILEDO 2019 DEC 19 PH 3: 13

James Pocourer		10120E0 13	
v.	Civil Action No. 12-C	2-416	SOM. CLANA Y CIRCUIT COURT
Irans Energy			
	ORDER		

	A		
activit	The following shall apply to and govern the above captioned action and activities conducted in ction with it. In the absence of a specific date or deadline for a given activity, it is anticipated that such y will be scheduled and conducted within a reasonable time prior to trial and prior to any scheduled y which should logically proceed it. The parties may not amend, modify or adjust any provision of this Order except by leave of the Court.		
1.	Trial Date: + wust 3, 2020 Time: 9:30 a.m.		
2.	Dispositive Motion to be filed by {at least 60 days prior to trial date}		
3.	Discovery Completed on or before: May 29 2020		
4.	Plaintiffs to identify expert witnesses by: Mdrch 2,2020		
5.	Defendants to disclose expert witnesses by: March 30,2020		
6.	All parties to identify fact witnesses by: January 17,2020		
7.	All IME's, physical or scientific tests or similar examinations, tests or studies shall be conducted by:		
8.	Third party complaints to be filed and served on or before: anuary 17,2020		
9.	Mediation to be completed on or before: May 29, 2020		
	(MANDATORY. Must be completed at least 14 days prior to trial. The costs shall be split		
between the parties-)			
	Enter this 19 hay of Charles E. King, Jr. Circuit Judge		
IN ORDER TO RECEIVE YOUR COPY PROMPTLY, PLEASE PRINT NAME AND ADDRESS LEGIBLY			
- 1	Selfor Plaintiff: In Masters Berly Dotson Surmers St. Cleston, NV 25 30/STATE OF WEST WARRING COUNTY FRANKAMIA SS LOTHY LOTSON, CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF SAID COUNTY AD IN AD STATE OF WEST WARRING LOTHY LOTSON, CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF SAID COUNTY AD IN AD STATE OF WEST WARRING A TRACE OF ONE PROPERSON CERRING THE POSCOPHING A TRACE OF ONE PLANKAMIAN COUNTY AND IN AD STATE OF WEST WARRING A TRACE OF ONE PROPERSON CERRING THE POSCOPHING A TRACE OF ONE PLANKAMIAN COUNTY AND IN AD STATE OF WEST WARRING A TRACE OF ONE PLANKAMIAN COUNTY AND IN AD STATE OF WEST WARRING A TRACE OF ONE PLANKAMIAN COUNTY AND IN AD STATE OF WEST WARRING A TRACE OF ONE PLANKAMIAN COUNTY AND IN AD STATE OF WEST WARRING A TRACE OF ONE PLANKAMIAN COUNTY AND IN ADD STATE OF WEST WARRING A TRACE OF ONE PLANKAMIAN COUNTY AND IN ADD STATE OF WEST WARRING A TRACE OF ONE PLANKAMIAN COUNTY AND IN ADD STATE OF WEST WARRING A TRACE OF ONE PLANKAMIAN COUNTY AND IN ADD STATE OF WEST WARRING A TRACE OF ONE PLANKAMIAN COUNTY AND IN ADD STATE OF WEST WARRING A TRACE OF ONE PLANKAMIAN COUNTY AND IN ADD STATE OF WEST WARRING A TRACE OF ONE PLANKAMIAN COUNTY AND IN ADD STATE OF WEST WARRING A TRACE OF ONE PLANKAMIAN COUNTY AND IN ADD STATE OF WEST WARRING A TRACE OF WEST WARRI		
	TARD IN 7 DO SHAFE DO HERRBY CHRITY THE ROPESONS A THE CO. TO SHAFE SHE RECORDS OF SAID COURT GRENTLY HER LY VI. A LD SEA OF SAID COURT DAY OF THE RECORDS OF SAID COURTY HIS CHECK TO COURT OF RAMAN IN COUNTY, WEST VIHIGINACY CHECK TO COURT OF RAMAN IN COUNTY, WEST VIHIGINACY CHECK TO COURT OF RAMAN IN COUNTY, WEST VIHIGINACY		

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF KANAWHA COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA E 2020 FEB 26 PH 1: 06

JAMES K. ABCOUWER,

AANAWHA COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT

Plaintiff,

٧.

Civil Action No. 13-C-56 Honorable Carrie L. Webster

TRANS ENERGY, INC., a foreign corporation, WILLIAM F. WOODBURN, and LOREN E. BAGLEY,

Defendants.

AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER

1. Discovery completed by: April 22, 2020.

2. Supplemental Motion for Summary Judgment filed by: July 22, 2020

with responses filed within 14 days: August 5, 2020

and replies filed: August 14, 2020

3. Hearing on Motion for Summary Judgment: August 27, 2020 Time: 9:00 a.m.

4. Pretrial Memoranda to be filed by: September 24, 2020

5. Pretrial Conference: October 8, 2020 Time: 9:00 a.m.

6. All parties shall exchange a copy of proposed jury instructions by: October 16, 2020

All instructions agreed to by the parties and any other proposed instructions shall be submitted to the court and also transmitted in electronic format (WordPerfect or Microsoft Word) to the court at Guyla.Black@courtswv.gov by (at least three days prior to trial):

7. Jury Selection: October 23, 2020 Time: 10:00 a.m.

8. Trial Date: October 26, 2020 Time: 9:00 a.m. Days needed for trial: 7

The Court directs the Clerk to send a certified copy of this Order to all counsel of record.

EXHIBIT .sapples

Judge Carrie L. Webster

Circuit Court of Kanawha County, West Virginia

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA
COUNTY OF KANAWHA, SS
I, CATHY 8. QATSON, CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT OF SAID COUNTY
AND IN SAID STATE, DO HEREBY CERTEY THAT THE FOREGOING
IS A TRUE COPY FROM THE RECORDS OF SAID COURT.
GIVEN UTGET MY LAND AND SEAL OF SAID COURT, CINS
DAY OF

CIRCUIT CÖURT OF KANAWHA COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

PREPARED BY:

with permission of S.C.K. NOS#18007 Kevin L. Carr (WVSB # 6872) Mitchell J. Rhein (WVSB # 12804) West Virginia State Bar No. 6872 Spilman Thomas & Battle PLLC

300 Kanawha Boulevard, East

Post Office Box 273

Charleston, West Virginia 25321

(304) 340-3800

Scott P. Drake (pro hac vice) Norton Rose Fulbright US L.L.P. 2200 Ross Avenue **Suite 3600** Dallas, Texas 75201 (214) 855-8000 Counsel for Defendants

REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY:

Marvin W. Masters (WVSB # 2359)
Kimberly K. Dotson (WVSB # 9093)

Ly L.C.L. WSB # 13007

The Masters Law Firm LC

181 Summers Street

Charleston, West Virginia 25301

(304) 342-3106

Counsel for Plaintiff

2