

JUDICIAL INVESTIGATION COMMISSION

Post Office Box 1629 Charleston, West Virginia 25326-1629 (304) 558-0169 FAX (304) 558-0831

September 28, 1998

Re: JIC Advisory Opinion 1998-14

Dear

Your request for an advisory opinion was reviewed by the Judicial Investigation
Commission at its recent meeting. In your letter to the Commission you requested an advisory
opinion regarding certain funds you have received while in your current position as Family Law
Master. You stated that prior to becoming Family Law Master you were employed in private
practice in and upon your appointment to Family Law Master you agreed with your
former colleague to turn over a personal injury case you had been working on in which you had
filed. You stated that your agreement was that upon final resolution of the matter your colleague
and you would equally divide the attorney fee portion of the proceeds and that this division was
explained and agreed to by the client. You indicated that you were concerned that the receipt of
any money while in office, whether it were prior to your appointment or not, from an attorney
who occasionally practices before you could give the appearance of impropriety. You ask
whether a simple disclosure of the fee paid would suffice or would other measures need to be
taken.

When reviewing your request, the Commission could find nothing in the Code of Judicial Conduct which would prohibit you from accepting the fee since the percentage which you would receive was fixed before you became Family Law Master and the contingency fee arrangement was otherwise proper. For example, the arrangement was disclosed to the client who agreed to its provisions. See Missouri Advisory Opinion 62 (1981); U.S. Compendium of Selected Opinions § 2.7(b) (1995); New York Advisory Opinion 93-44.

If there is any further question regarding this matter, do on hesitate to contact the Commission.

Very truly yours,

John W. Bennett, Chairman

JWB:nb