

### IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF OHIO COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

## IN RE: MARCELLUS SHALE LITIGATION MIDSTREAM CASES

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 14-C-3001** 

## THIS DOCUMENT APPLIES TO THE OAK GROVE TRIAL GROUP

**Timothy Dulaney and Shirley Dulaney** 

v. Civil Action No. 15-C-96 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

Betty J. Bird, Administratrix of the Estate of Judith McGary

v. Civil Action No. 15-C-95 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

Rickey McGary and Lisa McGary

v. Civil Action No. 15-C-97 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

James Stern and Roberta Stern

v. Civil Action No. 16-C-98 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

Harold S. Young and Charlene Young

v. Civil Action No. 18-C-245 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

#### THIS DOCUMENT APPLIES TO THE FORT BEELER TRIAL GROUP

**Curtis Allen and Karen Allen** 

v. Civil Action No. 14-C-199 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

Kenneth Allen and Mary Lou Allen

v. Civil Action No. 14-C-200 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

Randall Clark and Wendy Clark

v. Civil Action No. 14-C-201 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

**Robert Conner and Janet Conner** 

v. Civil Action No. 14-C-202 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

**Robert Conner and Rosemary Conner** 

v. Civil Action No. 14-C-203 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

**Ronnie Goodrich** 

v. Civil Action No. 14-C-204 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

**Ellen Harness** 

v. Civil Action No. 15-C-111 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

**James Marsh and Helen Marsh** 

v. Civil Action No. 14-C-205 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

**Calvin Moninger** 

v. Civil Action No. 14-C-206 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

**David Poling and Kimberly Poling** 

v. Civil Action No. 15-C-93 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

Charles Sheedy, Jr. and Tracylynn Sheedy

v. Civil Action No. 14-C-207 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

Charles Sheedy, Sr. and Debbie Sheedy

v. Civil Action No. 14-C-208 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

#### THIS DOCUMENT APPLIES TO THE CORLEY TRIAL GROUP

Gary Hall and Jodi Hall

v. Civil Action No. 17-C-2 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

James McKinney and Jennifer McKinney

v. Civil Action No. 17-C-2 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

Glenn Whisler and Sandra Whisler

v. Civil Action No. 17-C-2 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

Victor W. Woods, Jr. and Jessica L. Woods

v. Civil Action No. 17-C-238 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

THIS DOCUMENT APPLIES TO THE CONNOR-BERGER TRIAL GROUP:

Robert Carnahan and LeaAnn Carnahan

v. Civil Action No. 15-C-110 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

Joshua Nelson and Tracie Nelson

v. Civil Action No. 15-C-94 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

**Brenda Rine** 

v. Civil Action No. 15-C-91 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

THIS DOCUMENT APPLIES TO THE PINECONE TRIAL GROUP:

**James Conner and Patricia Conner** 

v. Civil Action No. 16-C-9 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

**Raymond and Carrie During** 

v. Civil Action No. 16-C-10 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

Samuel and Denise Martin

v. Civil Action No. 16-C-12 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

**Thomas and Jennifer Martin** 

v. Civil Action No. 16-C-13 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

William and Kimberly McIlvain

v. Civil Action No. 16-C-14 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

**Linda and James Myers** 

v. Civil Action No. 16-C-15 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

Janet Peck

v. Civil Action No. 16-C-16 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

**Linda Sing** 

V.

Civil Action No. 16-C-17 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

**Robin Sloan** 

V.

Civil Action No. 16-C-18 MSH

Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC

# ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANT WILLIAMS OHIO VALLEY MIDSTREAM, LLC'S MOTION TO ENFORCE PROTECTIVE ORDER

Pending before the Court is *Defendant Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC's Motion to Enforce Protective Order* (Transaction ID 67037356). Defendant Williams Ohio Valley Midstream, LLC ("WOVM") contends that, after 3 separate requests made over a 2-month period, one of the law firms representing the Plaintiffs, Bordas & Bordas, PLLC (the "Bordas Firm"), still refuses to comply with the terms of the Protective Order entered by this Court on January 12, 2015 ("Protective Order") (Transaction ID 56588391). Mot. p. 4. In support of its motion, WOVM attaches copies of three letters to counsel for Plaintiffs at the Bordas Firm seeking compliance with the Protective Order (Exhibits 1-3), and an email message to counsel for WOVM from counsel for Plaintiffs in which attorney Christopher J. Regan states, "I assure you that we will comply with" the Protective Order, and "I apologize that you had to write a third time." Exhibit 4 (email, Christopher J. Regan to Mychal S. Schulz dated October 11, 2021).

The Bordas Firm responds that, "Defendants' suggestion that it is unsatisfied with express written assurance that Plaintiffs' counsel is complying with the Protective Order is particularly farfetched when the Protective Order itself may be satisfied by the provision of written assurance of compliance once the documents are destroyed." Resp. p. 4. The Bordas Firm also attaches a copy of the October 11, 2021 email from Christopher J. Regan to Mychal S. Schulz in support of its position. (Exhibit A).

Paragraph 11 of the Protective Order provides that, upon termination of litigation, any

party that received documents designated "CONFIDENTIAL" by a party shall either (1) "[r]eturn

to the providing party, through its counsel of record, all documents and information subject to this

Protective Order;" or (2) "[p]rovide a written statement that all documents and information subject

to this Protective Order, including all copies, prints, summaries, and other reproductions of such

information, have been destroyed in a secure manner."

Because the Bordas Firm has only given assurance that it will comply with the Protective

Order, not that is has complied with the Protective Order, the Court GRANTS WOVM's motion

and **ORDERS** the Bordas Firm to comply with the terms of the Protective Order, including

Paragraph 11, no later than November 15, 2021.

It is so **ORDERED**.

**ENTERED:** November 4, 2021.

/s/ Derek C. Swope Lead Presiding Judge

Marcellus Shale Litigation

Midstream Cases

5