

West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals

Child Abuse and Neglect Database

Judicial Reporting



January–December, 2010

Introduction

Over the last few years the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals has demonstrated its commitment to children through its attention to child abuse and neglect proceedings. Aided by the collaboration of West Virginia's Court Improvement Program Board, a nationally recognized database was created to collect information on the timeliness of events within child abuse and neglect cases. The database is administered by the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals, Division of Court Services. Funding is provided by Court Improvement Program grant funds awarded by the United States Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

While this report is organized by judge, the data reflects of the work of all professionals involved in abuse and neglect proceedings on the judge's caseload. These professionals include child protective service workers, attorneys, guardians ad litem, and child advocates, among others. Additionally, abuse and neglect cases often involve children and families with unique circumstances that can complicate the process. Some circumstances are significant enough to merit or require a departure from the normal timeframes in a case. Knowing this, it is not the goal of the project to obtain 100% compliance to the various performance measures. The project's purpose is to provide each circuit court and the Supreme Court the data-tools to aid in identifying areas in need of improvement to expedite permanency for children.

In the following pages, child abuse and neglect caseloads for West Virginia's Circuit Judges are summarized through the performance measures related to the various stages and timeframes in these proceedings. For each performance measure four calculations are provided. The number of applicable records indicates the number of records available for reporting. The average column provides the arithmetic mean of the results when the given performance measure is applied to all applicable records. The median (midpoint) of these results is also provided. The final column includes the percent of records which were compliant with the given performance measure's limit (as provided in the performance measure title). Judge-level reporting also includes a statewide percent compliance column for reference. Complete reporting methodology for each of the performance measures is provided in the appendix.

Statewide Statistics

Statewide Performance Measures aggregates data reported for ALL Circuit Courts

Statewide Compliance data between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Statewide Judicial Measures

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	386	67.8	56.0	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	271	105.3	91.0	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	94	101.6	83.0	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	589	78.5	43.0	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	3202	93.9	52.0	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	862	191.8	182.0	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	3515	71.9	70.0	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	811	151.1	66.0	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	49	174.8	155.0	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	404	70.0	67.0	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	49	32.2	0.0	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Composite Judge Statistics

Performance Measure data below include data for all counties reported. This report includes data for all active judges.

Compliance data between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Aboulhosn

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	17	89.2	98.0	35.3%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	16	113.8	91.0	75.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	125.0	125.0	0.0%	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	28	68.0	38.0	42.9%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	83	60.8	51.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	96	182.1	182.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	215	95.5	91.0	54.0%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	9	37.8	28.0	88.9%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	154.0	154.0	100.0%	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	31	78.3	91.0	54.8%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Alsop

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	30	47.3	46.0	20.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	176	54.3	43.5	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	15	136.9	49.0	93.3%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	86	86.4	72.0	67.4%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	3	243.3	331.0	0.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	84	59.4	39.0	78.6%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Bailey

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	10	34.0	34.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	3	165.0	57.0	66.7%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	2	48.0	48.0	100.0%	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	24	214.5	102.5	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	30	189.0	77.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	538.0	538.0	0.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	6	91.2	90.5	83.3%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	26	166.1	149.5	11.5%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Beane

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	7	47.0	49.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	4	145.0	150.5	25.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	35	97.4	71.0	17.1%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	99	96.4	71.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	20	187.6	182.0	90.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	100	53.5	56.0	100.0%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	3	476.0	476.0	0.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	6	145.3	164.0	100.0%	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	37	49.7	49.0	100.0%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	6	29.2	38.5	100.0%	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Bedell

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	31	58.5	29.0	51.6%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	63	75.4	76.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	14	235.9	211.0	71.4%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	69	69.1	84.0	78.3%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	14	69.2	0.0	85.7%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Blake

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	18	87.8	92.0	61.1%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	40	70.0	61.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	20	163.6	142.0	95.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	101	70.4	81.0	78.2%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	20	112.8	70.5	45.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	12	88.3	89.0	75.0%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Bloom

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	4	42.0	42.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	1	230.0	230.0	0.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	1	56.0	56.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	63	106.9	51.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	3	165.0	165.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	35	69.9	87.0	54.3%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	46	73.4	58.0	54.3%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	2	161.0	161.0	0.0%	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Burnside

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	5	0.0	0.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	3	94.0	94.0	0.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	27	114.2	50.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	278.0	278.0	0.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	22	213.8	170.0	0.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Clawges

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	4	90.0	90.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	4	90.0	90.0	100.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	5	185.8	46.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	4	296.0	296.0	0.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	12	94.0	100.0	33.3%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	10	313.6	227.0	30.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	3	316.0	259.0	66.7%	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	4	87.8	87.0	75.0%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	3	74.3	71.0	0.0%	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Cookman

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	2	45.0	45.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	92.0	92.0	100.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	2	83.0	83.0	0.0%	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	2	54.0	54.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	37	64.5	54.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	41	176.5	181.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	167	71.0	79.0	76.6%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	16	138.8	172.0	18.8%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	16	69.2	83.0	75.0%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	4	86.0	73.0	0.0%	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Evans

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	5	79.6	76.0	60.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	4	55.5	55.5	100.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	2	0.0	0.0	100.0%	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	14	70.6	75.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	82	66.0	52.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	20	224.2	216.0	65.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	71	91.4	92.0	52.1%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	18	86.7	98.0	44.4%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Facemire

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	4	84.0	84.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	94.0	94.0	0.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	2	44.0	44.0	100.0%	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	44	46.8	41.0	47.7%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	129	52.5	41.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	28	212.2	181.5	71.4%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	96	64.0	61.0	90.6%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	15	257.9	0.0	60.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	6	83.0	83.0	100.0%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Ferguson

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	15	101.0	59.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	30	79.2	59.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	75.5	75.5	100.0%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	22	227.5	122.5	4.5%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Gaughan

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	1	56.0	56.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	9	116.0	72.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	2	152.0	152.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	3	95.0	95.0	0.0%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	3	368.3	485.0	0.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Gaujot

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	3	42.0	42.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	44	46.7	52.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	7	124.4	94.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	51	86.7	83.0	68.6%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Hatcher

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Hoke

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	6	103.0	139.0	33.3%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	3	92.0	92.0	100.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	342.0	342.0	0.0%	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	1	342.0	342.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	14	116.2	58.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	8	67.4	49.0	62.5%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Hummel

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	4	48.8	35.0	75.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	4	84.8	82.0	75.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	20	53.6	45.5	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	58	115.4	49.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	8	226.4	206.0	62.5%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	122	46.5	28.0	92.6%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	3	223.7	252.0	0.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Husted

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	11	39.5	36.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	12	61.0	66.5	100.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	4	0.0	0.0	100.0%	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	2	28.0	28.0	100.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	51	33.5	40.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	30	212.3	231.0	76.7%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	135	51.3	57.0	98.5%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	6	119.7	0.0	66.7%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	5	145.4	154.0	100.0%	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	6	72.2	63.0	83.3%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	5	0.0	0.0	100.0%	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Hutchison

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	6	86.3	91.0	83.3%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	71	89.2	65.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	5	96.4	92.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	9	103.0	105.0	11.1%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	26	192.6	141.0	38.5%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Irons

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	4	49.0	49.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	14	53.4	58.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	9	115.9	96.0	44.4%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	1	105.0	105.0	0.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Janes

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	9	75.0	71.0	100.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	53.0	53.0	100.0%	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	2	77.0	77.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	45	118.6	85.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	21	122.8	104.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	4	514.2	525.0	0.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Johnson

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	7	82.3	90.0	85.7%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	9	168.6	186.0	0.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	47	26.7	23.0	68.1%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	129	34.4	36.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	57	215.6	230.0	78.9%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	146	85.3	89.0	82.2%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	191.0	191.0	100.0%	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	8	72.5	80.0	100.0%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Jordan

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	130.0	130.0	0.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	15	55.4	41.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	51	63.4	49.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	6	135.0	135.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	22	62.1	76.0	100.0%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	20	56.8	78.0	45.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Karl

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	14	155.5	170.5	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	2	66.0	66.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	5	62.8	15.0	80.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Kaufman

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	1	363.0	363.0	0.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	32	94.4	48.5	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	12	216.8	157.5	58.3%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	1	61.0	61.0	100.0%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	6	126.5	122.5	33.3%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	3	342.0	342.0	0.0%	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	251.0	251.0	0.0%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	3	0.0	0.0	100.0%	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Keadle

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	1	91.0	91.0	100.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	64	72.2	41.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	6	190.7	209.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	79	78.2	86.0	72.2%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	11	20.7	29.0	100.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	29.0	29.0	100.0%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge King

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	11	114.6	74.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	2	193.0	193.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	3	64.3	62.0	100.0%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	5	68.8	54.0	60.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Kirkpatrick

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	1	93.0	93.0	100.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	2	89.0	89.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	41	82.0	70.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	14	176.1	175.0	78.6%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	42	85.6	92.0	52.4%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	10	308.1	217.0	10.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Marks

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	12	75.2	42.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	56	109.7	117.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	10	182.9	183.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	78	76.5	63.0	83.3%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	13	117.9	60.0	46.2%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	18	110.7	91.0	66.7%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Matish

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	4	36.5	36.5	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	4	98.5	98.5	50.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	17	158.6	47.0	11.8%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	45	86.4	36.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	3	184.0	184.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	15	74.3	84.0	100.0%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	8	157.0	127.0	0.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	155.0	155.0	100.0%	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	1	70.0	70.0	100.0%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Mazzone

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	1	146.0	146.0	0.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	35	185.9	92.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	18	80.1	61.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	68	105.4	58.0	77.9%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	52	408.9	487.5	3.8%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge McGraw

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	39	126.4	98.0	25.6%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	83.5	83.5	50.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	4	326.5	358.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	68	224.4	196.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	56.0	56.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	49	107.2	91.0	61.2%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	21	241.7	98.0	33.3%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	5	135.8	161.0	40.0%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Miller

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	1	48.0	48.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	18	38.8	29.0	83.3%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	56	37.1	29.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	21	179.0	184.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	85	66.5	79.0	98.8%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	13	8.5	0.0	100.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Moats

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	1	62.0	62.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	15	53.8	18.0	80.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	43	117.2	34.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	13	204.6	183.0	84.6%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	29	72.6	84.0	69.0%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	27	133.0	70.0	29.6%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Murensky

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	14	87.2	90.0	100.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	7	234.0	234.0	0.0%	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	25	271.6	192.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	3	177.0	177.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	7	61.1	66.0	14.3%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Nelson

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	277.0	277.0	0.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	15	51.7	29.0	73.3%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	68	62.9	48.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	44	141.0	119.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	93	74.6	57.0	76.3%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	11	24.6	22.0	100.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Nibert

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	3	78.3	59.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	19	61.4	54.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	7	276.9	287.0	28.6%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	9	99.3	100.0	0.0%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	11	454.0	188.0	36.4%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge O'Briant

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	17	59.6	62.0	88.2%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	18	89.6	91.0	100.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	3	47.3	38.0	66.7%	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	4	39.0	39.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	53	52.8	31.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	28	196.4	183.0	85.7%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	109	55.7	49.0	89.0%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	23	103.7	114.0	34.8%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Pancake

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	6	105.0	105.0	0.0%	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	10	46.2	28.0	60.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	29	113.1	41.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	7	89.6	90.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	13	53.3	42.0	92.3%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	6	240.3	287.0	33.3%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Perry

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	17	54.5	57.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	8	182.8	183.0	0.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	4	131.5	52.0	50.0%	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	2	203.0	203.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	59	133.6	59.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	23	182.2	183.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	113	63.1	56.0	91.2%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	6	137.7	0.0	66.7%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	5	50.4	61.0	100.0%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Pomponio

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	4	84.0	84.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	51	130.2	121.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	54	86.0	91.0	55.6%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Pratt

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	1	42.0	42.0	100.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	45	86.4	101.0	6.7%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	140	71.5	53.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	23	211.2	196.0	65.2%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	137	64.8	56.0	96.4%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	64	43.3	0.0	76.6%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	2	168.0	168.0	100.0%	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	21	74.3	70.0	61.9%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	2	0.0	0.0	100.0%	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Recht

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	4	33.0	33.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	39	152.6	127.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	13	227.0	183.0	76.9%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	29	75.8	79.0	65.5%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	10	382.0	217.0	20.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Reed

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	2	49.0	49.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	16	52.2	37.5	25.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	40	48.3	37.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	25	176.1	184.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	113	44.8	37.0	100.0%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	20	54.2	49.0	100.0%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Rowe

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	9	85.2	77.0	88.9%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	2	77.0	77.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	47	49.4	45.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	22	166.3	183.5	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	65	69.9	91.0	66.2%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	5	95.4	58.0	80.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	4	58.5	58.5	100.0%	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	4	68.0	66.0	75.0%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	2	30.0	30.0	100.0%	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Sadler

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	20	102.0	93.0	55.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	12	118.8	101.5	16.7%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	14	72.0	56.0	28.6%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	95	58.3	45.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	22	353.1	301.0	4.5%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	169	90.3	91.0	82.2%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	14	115.9	67.5	50.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	378.0	378.0	0.0%	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	37	71.8	84.0	94.6%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Spaulding

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	11	27.0	28.0	100.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	20	35.6	28.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	8	85.1	99.0	37.5%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Stephens

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	34	566.2	516.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	13	385.1	265.0	23.1%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Stowers

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	3	73.0	85.0	100.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	2	28.0	28.0	100.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	3	29.7	28.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Stucky

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	2	33.0	33.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	41	100.6	56.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	15	73.0	67.0	100.0%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	23	26.7	0.0	95.7%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Swope

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	9	64.9	68.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	15	134.9	99.0	33.3%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	13	13.4	0.0	84.6%	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	21	142.1	77.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	58	170.7	94.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	28	246.9	259.0	71.4%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	106	70.7	83.5	89.6%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	11	193.5	196.0	90.9%	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	45	74.9	84.0	84.4%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	7	0.0	0.0	100.0%	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Thompson

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	93	41.7	45.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	61	91.3	91.0	93.4%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	38	136.4	112.0	15.8%	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	50	205.4	203.5	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	19	183.2	184.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	81	41.6	42.0	90.1%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Thornsby

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	2	56.0	56.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	70.0	70.0	100.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	100	36.7	34.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	2	76.0	76.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	20	42.7	56.0	100.0%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	45	45.3	51.0	84.4%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Tucker

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	22	43.6	42.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	1	462.0	462.0	0.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	391.0	391.0	0.0%	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	13	33.2	27.0	100.0%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Waters

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	12	81.7	63.0	66.7%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	5	95.2	119.0	20.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	8	26.5	22.0	87.5%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	33	38.0	37.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	5	154.8	168.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	25	59.6	62.0	100.0%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	6	64.3	0.0	66.7%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	4	123.0	123.0	100.0%	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	4	0.0	0.0	100.0%	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Webster

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	22	125.9	149.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	7	87.9	93.0	100.0%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	17	42.0	0.0	76.5%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Wilfong

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	9	81.7	92.0	88.9%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	1	76.0	76.0	100.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	1	76.0	76.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	36	51.3	42.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	10	155.3	140.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	65	65.1	71.0	84.6%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	9	136.1	75.0	33.3%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	90.0	90.0	100.0%	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	6	57.5	50.0	66.7%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Wilson

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	13	59.7	71.0	100.0%	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	73.0	73.0	50.0%	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	6	198.0	198.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	40	243.2	225.5	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	13	263.2	275.0	38.5%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	200	48.1	37.0	95.0%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	13	14.8	0.0	92.3%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	3	51.7	34.0	100.0%	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Yoder

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	2	43.0	43.0	0.0%	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	30	85.1	86.5	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Judicial Measures - Judge Zakaib

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.0%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	68.6%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	38.3%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	30.2%
Time to Adjudication	46	88.9	61.5	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	269.0	269.0	100.0%	85.2%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	17	69.7	63.0	88.2%	80.8%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	5	102.6	45.0	60.0%	47.5%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	85.7%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.7%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	71.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load in 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without abuse and neglect filings assigned to them in 2010, those who retired or left office in 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges in 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Appendix A:

Reporting Methodology

Reporting Methodology

If there are multiple judges on a case, how does the system determine who the case record belongs to?

Record ownership is determined for each performance measure. The judge who is active during only part of a case will receive credit only for those case activities during which he was judge. For example, on the performance measure which calculates Filing to Preliminary Hearing, the judge assigned to the case at the time of the Preliminary Hearing will receive credit for the case on that measure. However, on the same case there may have been a different judge assigned when the child reaches permanency. This second judge would receive credit for Time to Permanency measure. Ownership of the record for each performance measure is determined by the judge assigned to the case at the time of the latest activity date used to calculate a given performance measure.

What activity dates are used to group the cases into the date ranges chosen for reports?

You may select a range of dates to be displayed in your reports, or graph your data over a series of years. When you do this, you are reviewing the judge's work during those time periods. For example, if you run a report with the date parameters January 2009 to December 2009 for the Filing to Preliminary Hearing performance measures it will reflect all cases in which the judge has held a preliminary hearing during the year 2009. Likewise, if you run a report for that same time period for the measure Time to Permanency, it will reflect all those cases assigned to the judge that reached permanency during that time period. Keep in mind when you look at the MyStats report, the different measures will reflect different populations of cases depending upon where in the judicial process each case is within the judge's caseload.

How are cases with amended petitions handled for reporting?

The reporting site will now calculate Amended Petitions within the Performance Measures. The measure has been modified to include Amended Petitions when applicable and can be found within Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal, Time to Adjudication, and Time to Termination of Parental Rights. In order to give the judges an opportunity to remain in compliance, the measurement is based on individual respondents within each case. Calculations will begin the date the Amended Petition is filed for the particular respondent rather than the date the original petition was filed.

Appendix B:

Performance Measure Methodology

Performance Measure Methodology

Judicial Measures

Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing

'...A [review hearing] shall be conducted within 90 days of the award of the improvement period.' For each respondent granted PAIPs the number of days lapsed between the PAIP begin date and the first PAIP review hearing date should be less than or equal to 93 days. This calculation includes the three day grace period allowed for all performance measures.

Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP)

'A Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period shall not exceed three months'. Hence, the compliance calculation for the duration of the PAIP measures the time lapsed between the PAIP begin date and the PAIP termination date for each respondent. If this results in 93 or fewer days the PAIP is considered within compliance.

End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing

'An adjudicatory hearing held at the end of an improvement period shall be held as close in time as possible after the end of the improvement period and shall be held within 60 days of the termination of such improvement period.' Knowing this, the performance measure associated with this Benchbook quote calculates the number of calendar days between the termination of the PAIP and the begin date of the adjudicatory hearing for each respondent. Allowing for the grace period, if this calculation results in 63 or fewer days it is considered within compliance.

Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal

If the child is not removed from the home then the adjudicatory hearing must be commenced within thirty days of the filing of the original petition. For all cases in which the record indicates that the child has not been removed, the number of days between the filing of the original petition for each respondent and the respondent's start date of the adjudicatory hearing is calculated. This calculation includes all calendar days. With the allowed three day grace period, all cases with a result of 33 days or less are considered within compliance. If a respondent was added as the result of an Amended Petition, time to the Adjudicatory Hearing would be calculated from the date the respondent was added rather than the original petition date.

Time to Adjudication

This measure will include calculating the average (mean) and median time from filing of the original petition to adjudication. The average will be calculated using all respondent records including original petition filing date and the beginning date of the adjudicatory hearing date for each respondent. If a respondent was added as the result of an Amended Petition, time to the Adjudicatory Hearing would be calculated from the date the respondent was added rather than the original petition date.

Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP)

'After finding that a child is an abused or neglected child, a court may grant a respondent an

improvement period not to exceed six months.’ However, ‘when the Court finds that the respondent has substantially complied with the terms of the improvement period; that the continuation of the improvement period will not substantially impair the ability of the Department interest on the child, W. Va. Code § 49-6-12(g)’, the court may extend this period for an additional three months. This makes the maximum duration of an Adjudicatory Improvement Period nine months (270 days). Therefore, the number of days lapsed between AIP start date and AIP end date must be less than or equal to 273 days to be within compliance (nine months plus 3 day grace period).

Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Periods (AIP)

‘The court shall thereafter convene a review hearing at least once every three months for the duration of the improvement period.’ If an AIP is granted by the court, the number of days lapsed between the beginning of the AIP and the first review hearing for each respondent should be less than or equal to 93 days. This compliance value includes all calendar days and a three day grace period. Compliance of subsequent review hearings within the AIP can be determined the same way by calculating the number of days lapsed between the first and second, and second and third.

Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing

‘A disposition hearing shall commence within 45 days of the entry of the adjudicatory order.’ Since the database collects only hearing dates, not the dates orders were entered, it is necessary to add ten days to the allotted time period to allow for the filing of the adjudicatory order. Therefore, all cases without AIPs are determined within compliance if the date of the last adjudicatory order is within 58 days of the date of the disposition hearing. This measure is calculated for each applicable respondent in a case. This allows for a grace period of an additional three days.

Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP)

‘A Dispositional Improvement Period shall not exceed nine months (six months plus possible three month extension)’. Hence, the compliance calculation for the duration of the DIP measures the time lapsed between the DIP begin date and the DIP termination date for each applicable respondent. If this results in 273 or fewer days the DIP is considered within compliance. (Nine months multiplied by thirty days plus a three day grace period.)

Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP)

‘The court shall thereafter convene a review hearing at least once every three months for the duration of the improvement period.’ If a DIP is granted by the court, the number of days lapsed between the beginning of the DIP and the first review hearing should be less than or equal to 93 days for each applicable respondent. This compliance value includes all calendar days and a three day grace period. Compliance of subsequent review hearings within the DIP can be determined the same way by calculating the number of days lapsed between the first and second, and second and third.

End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing

‘When a dispositional improvement period has been awarded as an alternative to final disposition, a final disposition hearing shall be held no later than 60 days after the end of the disposition improvement period.’ If a DIP is granted by the court, the number of days lapsed between the termination of the improvement period and the beginning of the final dispositional hearing should not exceed 63 days for each applicable respondent. This compliance standard includes all calendar days and a three day grace period.