



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF OHIO COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

IN RE: MARCELLUS SHALE LITIGATION

CIVIL ACTION NO. 14-C-3000

THIS DOCUMENT APPLIES TO:

Doug Bunch v. Antero Resources, et al.	Civil Action No. 15-C-176 KAN
Robert Davis v. Antero Resources, et al.	Civil Action No. 15-C-262 KAN
Amber B. Gaines v. Antero Resources, et al.	Civil Action No. 15-C-289 KAN
J. Doug Geelhaar v. Antero Resources, et al.	Civil Action No. 15-C-225 KAN
James R. Griffith, et al. v. Antero Resources, et al.	Civil Action No. 14-C-668 MON
Joshua M. Knight v. Antero Resources, et al.	Civil Action No. 15-C-277 KAN
Judith K. Metheny v. Antero Resources, et al.	Civil Action No. 14-C-1548 KAN
Vickie Nutter v. Antero Resources, et al.	Civil Action No. 14-C-1529 KAN
Diane Pitcock, et al. v. Antero Resources, et al.	Civil Action No. 14-C-45 DOD
Chelsea Powell v. Antero Resources, et al.	Civil Action No. 15-C-232 KAN
Chad Richards, et al. v. Antero Resources, et al.	Civil Action No. 15-C-179 KAN
Guy William Sheely, Jr. v. Antero Resources, et al.	Civil Action No. 15-C-202 KAN
Robert E. Shields v. Antero Resources, et al.	Civil Action No. 15-C-201 KAN
William Jeb Stewart, et al. v. Antero Resources, et al.	Civil Action No. 15-C-181 KAN
John D. Wilhide v. Antero Resources, et al.	Civil Action No. 15-C-200 KAN
David E. Wright v. Antero Resources, et al.	Civil Action No. 15-C-182 KAN

AMENDMENT TO ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

The Court has reviewed *Hall Drilling, LLC's Response in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order* (Transaction IDs 57392745 and 57391329¹), and *Hall Drilling, LLC's Motion to Amend Order Denying Motion for Protective Order to Include Hall Drilling, LLC as a Party Defendant Allowed to Complete Discovery* (Transaction ID 57392999).

Finding that Defendant Hall Drilling, LLC was inadvertently omitted from the *Order Denying Motion for Protective Order* (Transaction ID 57389439), the Court **GRANTS** Hall Drilling, LLC's motion and amends the *Order Denying Motion for Protective Order* to include Hall Drilling, LLC as a party defendant, which may complete such discovery as is reasonably

¹ Hall Drilling, LLC's Response was also filed in Civil Action Nos. 13-C-434 HRR ANDREWS RL; 13-C-434 HRR ASHCRAFT R; 13-C-434 HRR FEATHERS LN; 13-C-434 HRR GOLDEN R; 13-C-434 HRR KINNEY DL; 13-C-434 HRR MAZER CA; 13-C-434 HRR MAZER DA; 13-C-434 HRR MAZER SA; 13-C-434 HRR MAZER SJ; 13-C-434 HRR MCWILLIAMS G; 13-C-434 HRR NUTT DS; 13-C-434 HRR SIDERS R; and 13-C-434 HRR MAZER CT.

necessary to fairly evaluate Marcellus Shale Litigation cases between now and commencement of mediation on August 26, 2015.

The Panel notes and preserves the objections of any party aggrieved by this Order.

It is so **ORDERED**.

ENTER: June 12, 2015.

/s/ Alan D. Moats
Lead Presiding Judge
Marcellus Shale Litigation