



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF OHIO COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

IN RE: MARCELLUS SHALE LITIGATION

CIVIL ACTION NO. 14-C-3000

THIS DOCUMENT APPLIES TO:

ROBERT ANDREWS, et al. v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR ANDREWS RL
RODNEY ASHCRAFT, et al. v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR ASHCRAFT R
LINDSEY FEATHERS v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR FEATHERS LN
ROBERT GOLDEN, et al. v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR GOLDEN R
DANIEL KINNEY, et al. v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR KINNEY DL
CHARLES A. MAZER v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR MAZER CA
CHARLES T. MAZER v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR MAZER CT
DOUGLAS MAZER, et al. v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR MAZER DA
SHAWN MAZER v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR MAZER SA
SUSAN MAZER v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR MAZER SJ
GREGG MCWILLIAMS, et al. v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR MCWILLIAMS G
DAVID NUTT v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR NUTT DS
ROBERT SIDERS, et al. v. Antero, et al.	13-C-434 HRR SIDERS R

ORDER

The Court's April 18, 2016, Order regarding dispositive motions (Transaction ID 58876663) was to advise the parties of the Court's ruling, but was not intended to be a final judgment pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the West Virginia Rules of Civil Procedure. A final judgment order for appellate purposes, with findings of fact and conclusions of law, will be forthcoming.

It is so **ORDERED**.

ENTER: April 20, 2016

/s/ Alan D. Moats
Lead Presiding Judge
Marcellus Shale Litigation