

West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals

Child Abuse and Neglect Database

Judicial Reporting



February 28, 2011

Introduction

Over the last few years the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals has demonstrated its commitment to children through its attention to child abuse and neglect proceedings. Aided by the collaboration of West Virginia's Court Improvement Program Board, a nationally recognized database was created to collect information on the timeliness of events within child abuse and neglect cases. The database is administered by the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals, Division of Court Services. Funding is provided by Court Improvement Program grant funds awarded by the United States Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. Using the data provided, this report was prepared to demonstrate compliance to federal and state timelines on all abuse and neglect proceedings with activity during 2010.

In the following pages child abuse and neglect caseloads for West Virginia's Circuit Judges are summarized through their performance on eleven timelines related to such proceedings. For each performance measure four calculations are provided. The number of applicable records indicates the number of records available for reporting. The average column provides the arithmetic mean of the results when the given performance measure is applied to all applicable records. The median (midpoint) of these results is also provided. The final column includes the percent of records which were compliant with the given performance measure's limit (as provided in the performance measure title). Judge level reporting also includes a statewide percent compliance column for reference. Complete reporting methodology for each of the performance measures is provided in the appendix.

Statewide Statistics

Statewide Performance Measures aggregates data reported for ALL Circuit Courts

Statewide Compliance data between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Statewide Judicial Measures

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	314	68.7	63.0	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	203	104.7	91.0	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	68	95.2	79.0	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	420	64.0	41.5	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	2272	84.3	50.0	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	658	186.4	182.0	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	1728	67.9	63.0	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	511	146.7	66.0	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	38	160.7	154.0	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	285	77.0	80.0	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	36	33.2	0.0	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Aboulhosn

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	17	89.2	98.0	35.3%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	16	113.8	91.0	75.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	125.0	125.0	0.0%	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	24	57.2	27.0	58.3%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	67	56.1	50.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	84	182.2	182.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	130	100.9	94.0	45.4%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	154.0	154.0	100.0%	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	31	78.3	91.0	54.8%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Alsop

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	22	51.2	58.0	0.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	139	58.7	47.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	14	126.4	49.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	41	82.9	90.0	78.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	3	243.3	331.0	0.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	28	78.8	39.0	71.4%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Bailey

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	1	381.0	381.0	0.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	15	254.5	62.0	0.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	19	217.1	77.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	538.0	538.0	0.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	3	82.0	90.0	100.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	18	185.1	163.0	0.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Beane

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	5	46.2	49.0	100.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	3	156.0	189.0	33.3%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	14	63.0	57.0	14.3%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	57	68.5	57.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	18	192.9	182.0	88.9%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	51	55.1	63.0	100.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	3	123.7	161.0	100.0%	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	31	49.1	49.0	100.0%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	3	9.3	0.0	100.0%	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Bedell

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	10	25.4	28.5	80.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	11	37.1	31.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	4	159.2	147.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	8	68.2	70.0	100.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Blake

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	19	88.0	92.0	63.2%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	31	60.1	61.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	11	186.5	142.0	90.9%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	55	64.4	64.0	96.4%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	12	112.0	67.0	50.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	12	88.3	89.0	75.0%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Bloom

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	4	42.0	42.0	100.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	1	56.0	56.0	0.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	56	95.9	50.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	3	165.0	165.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	13	85.2	100.0	46.2%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	37	59.5	50.0	56.8%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	2	161.0	161.0	0.0%	69.4%

- Notes:
- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
 - If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
 - All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
 - The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
 - This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Burnside

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	5	0.0	0.0	100.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	3	94.0	94.0	0.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	22	110.4	50.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	278.0	278.0	0.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	17	190.9	170.0	0.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Clawges

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	4	90.0	90.0	100.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	4	90.0	90.0	100.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	5	185.8	46.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	4	296.0	296.0	0.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	8	101.0	101.0	0.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	6	101.0	53.0	50.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	259.0	259.0	100.0%	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	88.5	88.5	50.0%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	81.0	81.0	0.0%	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Cookman

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	2	54.0	54.0	0.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	32	54.2	29.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	32	181.7	181.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	104	71.9	79.0	74.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	16	138.8	172.0	18.8%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	18	67.4	83.0	77.8%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	3	73.0	73.0	0.0%	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Evans

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	5	79.6	76.0	60.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	3	48.7	35.0	100.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	12	67.7	67.0	0.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	76	61.1	52.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	17	237.2	216.0	58.8%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	41	97.0	105.0	31.7%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	18	86.7	98.0	44.4%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Facemire

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	94.0	94.0	0.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	2	44.0	44.0	100.0%	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	35	47.1	33.0	51.4%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	87	48.3	41.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	22	195.3	167.5	72.7%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	52	63.1	61.0	98.1%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	12	178.0	0.0	75.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	6	83.0	83.0	100.0%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Ferguson

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	16	70.0	59.0	0.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	24	66.5	59.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	1	88.0	88.0	100.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	25	230.3	133.0	4.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Gaughan

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	1	56.0	56.0	100.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	8	100.5	57.5	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	2	152.0	152.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	1	135.0	135.0	0.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Gaujot

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	12	48.8	47.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Hatcher

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

- Notes:
- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
 - If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
 - All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
 - The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
 - This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Hoke

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	3	138.7	31.0	66.7%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	3	92.0	92.0	100.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	342.0	342.0	0.0%	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	1	342.0	342.0	0.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	13	122.2	58.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	6	73.5	73.5	50.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Hummel

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	4	69.0	65.0	50.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	5	84.6	84.0	80.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	13	60.8	42.0	0.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	39	61.0	49.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	4	299.2	329.0	25.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	22	41.2	28.0	100.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Husted

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	9	38.9	36.0	100.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	9	57.3	62.0	100.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	3	0.0	0.0	100.0%	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	5	32.2	35.0	40.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	30	44.6	35.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	14	209.9	228.0	57.1%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	50	48.7	45.5	100.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	4	0.0	0.0	100.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Hutchison

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	5	85.4	91.0	80.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	45	72.2	65.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	8	181.8	161.0	37.5%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Irons

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	13	52.8	58.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	1	17.0	17.0	100.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Janes

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	9	75.0	71.0	100.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	53.0	53.0	100.0%	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	2	77.0	77.0	0.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	45	120.5	85.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	19	126.3	104.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	4	514.2	525.0	0.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Johnson

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	7	82.3	90.0	85.7%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	9	168.6	186.0	0.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	47	26.7	23.0	68.1%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	119	33.5	36.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	54	222.7	230.0	77.8%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	99	75.5	88.0	81.8%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	191.0	191.0	100.0%	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	8	72.5	80.0	100.0%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Jordan

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	18	83.3	114.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	6	135.0	135.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	6	28.3	27.0	100.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	2	57.5	57.5	50.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

- Notes:
- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
 - If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
 - All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
 - The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
 - This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Karl

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	4	201.5	248.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	1	15.0	15.0	100.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Kaufman

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	1	363.0	363.0	0.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	15	59.7	55.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	8	225.1	157.5	62.5%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	6	126.5	122.5	33.3%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	3	342.0	342.0	0.0%	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	251.0	251.0	0.0%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	3	0.0	0.0	100.0%	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Keadle

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	56	77.1	41.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	6	192.2	209.0	83.3%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	41	72.0	85.0	85.4%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	9	18.9	34.0	100.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	29.0	29.0	100.0%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge King

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	5	44.6	41.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	2	193.0	193.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	51.5	51.5	100.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	1	49.0	49.0	100.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Kirkpatrick

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	1	73.0	73.0	100.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	2	89.0	89.0	0.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	21	71.4	66.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	7	120.7	85.0	85.7%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	7	97.0	98.0	14.3%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Marks

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	23	83.4	69.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	4	183.0	183.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	46	66.3	63.0	82.6%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	6	122.5	91.0	50.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	18	110.7	91.0	66.7%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Matish

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	2	43.0	43.0	100.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	106.0	106.0	0.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	16	45.3	47.0	31.2%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	31	39.5	36.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	3	184.0	184.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	3	58.0	71.0	100.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	6	127.0	127.0	0.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Mazzone

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	23	116.0	92.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	13	86.9	61.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	49	79.1	59.0	81.6%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	49	420.1	520.0	2.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge McGraw

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	28	125.6	98.0	28.6%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	83.5	83.5	50.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	28	288.1	274.5	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	2	28.0	28.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	29	103.0	90.0	55.2%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	5	44.2	0.0	60.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	5	135.8	161.0	40.0%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

- Notes:
- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
 - If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
 - All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
 - The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
 - This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Miller

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	1	48.0	48.0	100.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	13	29.5	29.0	92.3%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	49	32.0	29.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	19	178.4	184.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	43	53.0	46.0	100.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	9	12.3	0.0	100.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Moats

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	1	117.0	117.0	0.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	1	192.0	192.0	0.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	6	14.0	14.0	100.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	21	21.7	18.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	8	206.9	183.0	75.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	11	102.5	105.0	18.2%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	4	197.0	197.0	0.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Murenky

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	17	177.4	153.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	6	66.0	66.0	0.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

- Notes:
- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
 - If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
 - All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
 - The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
 - This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Nelson

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	277.0	277.0	0.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	15	51.7	29.0	73.3%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	63	64.5	48.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	38	125.0	119.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	26	61.0	53.0	84.6%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	12	25.8	22.0	100.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Nibert

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	3	78.3	59.0	0.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	10	75.2	59.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	4	276.2	288.5	25.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	8	100.0	100.0	0.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	7	683.7	1059.0	14.3%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge O'Briant

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	10	53.7	51.0	100.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	8	87.2	90.0	100.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	3	47.3	38.0	66.7%	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	4	39.0	39.0	0.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	33	49.7	31.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	28	196.4	183.0	85.7%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	81	55.8	49.0	91.4%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	13	97.4	21.0	61.5%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Pancake

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	10	46.2	28.0	60.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	20	68.8	38.5	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	6	89.5	89.5	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	4	35.0	35.0	100.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	2	287.0	287.0	0.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Perry

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	12	56.8	57.0	100.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	3	182.7	182.0	0.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	3	47.3	38.0	66.7%	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	48	76.2	59.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	18	182.1	182.5	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	64	49.6	45.0	95.3%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	1	567.0	567.0	0.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	65.0	65.0	100.0%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Pomponio

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	4	84.0	84.0	100.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	42	138.7	126.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	18	98.4	98.0	33.3%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Pratt

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	1	42.0	42.0	100.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	44	87.2	101.0	6.8%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	138	71.3	53.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	21	222.0	196.0	61.9%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	81	65.0	56.0	96.3%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	60	34.0	0.0	81.7%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	2	168.0	168.0	100.0%	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	21	74.3	70.0	61.9%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	2	0.0	0.0	100.0%	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Recht

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	3	79.0	79.0	100.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	30	146.1	157.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	4	79.0	79.0	100.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Reed

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	2	49.0	49.0	100.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	14	41.4	36.0	28.6%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	39	44.0	37.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	21	174.4	182.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	71	38.9	35.0	100.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	12	46.3	45.0	100.0%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

- Notes:
- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
 - If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
 - All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
 - The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
 - This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Rowe

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	2	77.0	77.0	0.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	32	44.1	45.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	12	203.5	223.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	14	73.4	91.0	100.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	1	43.0	43.0	100.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	5	63.6	84.0	100.0%	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	3	62.7	48.0	66.7%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	2	30.0	30.0	100.0%	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Sadler

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	15	77.3	91.0	73.3%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	6	96.3	98.0	33.3%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	2	29.0	29.0	100.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	40	54.7	43.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	281.0	281.0	0.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	66	78.3	90.0	97.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	378.0	378.0	0.0%	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	24	77.2	84.0	95.8%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Spaulding

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	11	27.0	28.0	100.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	20	35.6	28.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	8	85.1	99.0	37.5%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Stephens

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	2	302.5	302.5	0.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	32	608.5	780.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	10	335.3	85.0	30.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

- Notes:
- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
 - If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
 - All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
 - The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
 - This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Stowers

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	3	73.0	85.0	100.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	1	33.0	33.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Stucky

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	2	33.0	33.0	100.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	19	56.6	44.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	8	38.6	22.5	87.5%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Swope

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	9	64.9	68.0	100.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	13	115.8	99.0	38.5%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	11	15.8	0.0	81.8%	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	10	62.2	77.0	0.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	36	103.6	76.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	18	257.3	266.0	55.6%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	55	61.3	84.0	96.4%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	10	194.7	196.0	90.0%	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	33	80.9	90.0	78.8%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	6	0.0	0.0	100.0%	69.4%

- Notes:
- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
 - If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
 - All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
 - The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
 - This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Thompson

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	88	41.5	45.0	100.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	59	91.3	91.0	93.2%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	36	139.6	125.5	16.7%	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	48	205.5	206.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	19	183.2	184.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	48	45.3	42.0	83.3%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Thornsbury

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	81	36.5	34.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	6	48.7	35.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	8	49.0	49.0	100.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	36	49.4	58.0	83.3%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Tucker

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	7	49.1	50.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	1	188.0	188.0	0.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	101.0	101.0	100.0%	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	31.0	31.0	100.0%	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Waters

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	12	81.7	63.0	66.7%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	4	119.0	119.0	0.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	10	26.6	24.5	90.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	32	35.5	37.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	5	154.8	168.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	15	55.3	60.0	100.0%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	4	0.0	0.0	100.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	4	123.0	123.0	100.0%	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	4	0.0	0.0	100.0%	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Webster

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	15	114.0	94.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	10	71.4	43.0	60.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Wilfong

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	9	82.4	92.0	88.9%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	2	83.5	83.5	100.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	32	48.8	42.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	7	139.7	134.0	100.0%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	40	59.2	55.0	87.5%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	9	136.1	75.0	33.3%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	1	90.0	90.0	100.0%	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	6	57.5	50.0	66.7%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	1	0.0	0.0	100.0%	69.4%

- Notes:
- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
 - If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
 - All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
 - The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
 - This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Wilson

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	12	60.3	71.0	100.0%	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	1	117.0	117.0	0.0%	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	6	198.0	198.0	0.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	25	201.0	198.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	13	263.2	275.0	38.5%	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	115	47.5	37.0	94.8%	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	5	38.6	0.0	80.0%	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	3	51.7	34.0	100.0%	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

- Notes:
- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
 - If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
 - All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
 - The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
 - This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Yoder

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	1	43.0	43.0	0.0%	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	28	88.8	97.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

Notes:

- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
- If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
- All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
- The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
- This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Compliance Data Between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2010

Judicial Measures - Judge Zakaib

Performance Measure	Applicable Records	Average (Days)	Median (Days)	% Compliance	% Statewide Compliance
Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	79.6%
Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	67.5%
End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	41.2%
Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal (33 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	36.0%
Time to Adjudication	0	0.0	0.0	No Standard	No Standard
Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.5%
Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	82.6%
Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	47.4%
Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) (273 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	86.8%
Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP) (93 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	75.4%
End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing (63 day compliance limit)	0	0.0	0.0	No Data	69.4%

- Notes:
- Performance measures shown on this report represent all case activity during the time frame. For methodology on performance measures please refer to the methodology information provided in the appendix.
 - If more than one judge participated in a single case's proceedings, judges will receive credit only for those case activities over which they presided.
 - All Circuit Judges with an abuse and neglect case load during 2010 were included in this report. Judges excluded from reporting included those without 2010 abuse and neglect filings assigned to them, those who retired or left office during 2010. Judges Groh, Sanders, Silver, Wilkes, and Young, although active judges during 2010, did not have a caseload including child abuse and neglect cases. Therefore, they are not included in this report.
 - The measure, Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing (58 day compliance limit), reflects the final disposition hearing date for applicable cases and does not take into account any prior disposition.
 - This project was supported by Grant Number 0901WVSCID awarded by the United States Department of Health & Human Services , Administration for Children and Families.

Appendix A:

Reporting Methodology

Reporting Methodology

If there are multiple judges on a case, how does the system determine who the case record belongs to?

Record ownership is determined for each performance measure. The judge who is active during only part of a case will receive credit only for those case activities over which he/she presided. For example, on the performance measure which calculates Filing to Preliminary Hearing, the judge assigned to the case at the time of the Preliminary Hearing will receive credit for the case on that measure. However, on the same case there may have been a different judge assigned when the child reaches permanency. This second judge would receive credit for Time to Permanency measure. Ownership of the record for each performance measure is determined by the judge assigned to the case at the time of the latest activity date used to calculate a given performance measure.

What activity dates are used to group the cases into the date ranges chosen for reports?

You may select a range of dates to be displayed in your reports, or graph your data over a series of years. When you do this, you are reviewing the judge's work during those time periods. For example, if you run a report with the date parameters January 2009 to December 2009 for the Filing to Preliminary Hearing performance measures it will reflect all cases in which the judge has held a preliminary hearing during the year 2009. Likewise, if you run a report for that same time period for the measure Time to Permanency, it will reflect all those cases assigned to the judge that reached permanency during that time period. Keep in mind when you look at the MyStats report, the different measures will reflect different populations of cases depending upon where in the judicial process each case is within the judge's caseload.

How are cases with amended petitions handled for reporting?

The calculations on this reporting site exclude any reported cases with amended petitions. Due to inconsistency in reporting data in such cases, performance measure values in many such cases are skewed. Analysts and counsel plan to develop methodology for including these records in future reporting after users have had more intensive training on the topic.

Appendix B:

Performance Measure Methodology

Performance Measure Methodology

Judicial Measures

Start of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Review Hearing

'...A [review hearing] shall be conducted within 90 days of the award of the improvement period.' For each respondent granted PAIPs the number of days lapsed between the PAIP begin date and the first PAIP review hearing date should be less than or equal to 93 days. This calculation includes the three day grace period allowed for all performance measures.

Duration of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP)

'A Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period shall not exceed three months'. Hence, the compliance calculation for the duration of the PAIP measures the time lapsed between the PAIP begin date and the PAIP termination date for each respondent. If this results in 93 or fewer days the PAIP is considered within compliance.

End of Pre-Adjudicatory Improvement Period (PAIP) to Adjudicatory Hearing

'An adjudicatory hearing held at the end of an improvement period shall be held as close in time as possible after the end of the improvement period and shall be held within 60 days of the termination of such improvement period.' Knowing this, the performance measure associated with this Benchbook quote calculates the number of calendar days between the termination of the PAIP and the begin date of the adjudicatory hearing for each respondent. Allowing for the grace period, if this calculation results in 63 or fewer days it is considered within compliance.

Time to Adjudicatory Hearing without Child Removal

If the child is not removed from the home then the adjudicatory hearing must be commenced within thirty days of the filing of the petition. For all cases in which the record indicates that the child has not been removed the number of days between the filing of the original petition for each respondent and the respondent's start date of the adjudicatory hearing is calculated. This calculation includes all calendar days. With the allowed three day grace period, all cases with a result of 33 days or less are considered within compliance.

Time to Adjudication

This measure will include calculating the average (mean) and median time from filing of the original petition to adjudication. The average will be calculated using all respondent records including both original petition filing date and the beginning date of the adjudicatory hearing date for each respondent.

Duration of Adjudicatory Improvement Period (AIP)

'After finding that a child is an abused or neglected child, a court may grant a respondent an improvement period not to exceed six months.' However, 'when the Court finds that the respondent has substantially complied with the terms of the improvement period; that the continuation of the improvement period will not substantially impair the ability of the Department interest on the child, W. Va. Code § 49-6-12(g)', the court may extend this period for an additional three months. This makes the maximum duration of an Adjudicatory Improvement Period nine months (270 days). Therefore, the number of days lapsed between AIP start date

and AIP end date must be less than or equal to 273 days to be within compliance (nine months plus 3 day grace period).

Review Hearings During Adjudicatory Improvement Periods (AIP)

'The court shall thereafter convene a review hearing at least once every three months for the duration of the improvement period.' If an AIP is granted by the court, the number of days lapsed between the beginning of the AIP and the first review hearing for each respondent should be less than or equal to 93 days. This compliance value includes all calendar days and a three day grace period. Compliance of subsequent review hearings within the AIP can be determined the same way by calculating the number of days lapsed between the first and second, and second and third.

Adjudicatory Hearing to Dispositional Hearing

'A disposition hearing shall commence within 45 days of the entry of the adjudicatory order.' Since the database collects only hearing dates, not the dates orders were entered, it is necessary to add ten days to the allotted time period to allow for the filing of the adjudicatory order. Therefore, all cases without AIPs are determined within compliance if the date of the last adjudicatory order is within 58 days of the date of the disposition hearing. This measure is calculated for each applicable respondent in a case. This allows for a grace period of an additional three days.

Duration of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP)

'A Dispositional Improvement Period shall not exceed nine months (six months plus possible three month extension)'. Hence, the compliance calculation for the duration of the DIP measures the time lapsed between the DIP begin date and the DIP termination date for each applicable respondent. If this results in 273 or fewer days the DIP is considered within compliance. (Nine months multiplied by thirty days plus a three day grace period.)

Review Hearings During Dispositional Improvement Periods (DIP)

'The court shall thereafter convene a review hearing at least once every three months for the duration of the improvement period.' If a DIP is granted by the court, the number of days lapsed between the beginning of the DIP and the first review hearing should be less than or equal to 93 days for each applicable respondent. This compliance value includes all calendar days and a three day grace period. Compliance of subsequent review hearings within the DIP can be determined the same way by calculating the number of days lapsed between the first and second, and second and third.

End of Dispositional Improvement Period (DIP) to Final Disposition Hearing

'When a dispositional improvement period has been awarded as an alternative to final disposition, a final disposition hearing shall be held no later than 60 days after the end of the disposition improvement period.' If a DIP is granted by the court, the number of days lapsed between the termination of the improvement period and the beginning of the final dispositional hearing should not exceed 63 days for each applicable respondent. This compliance standard includes all calendar days and a three day grace period.